## **Reclassifying Feynman Integrals as Special Functions**

Yan-Qing Ma (Peking University) yqma@pku.edu.cn

# DESY-Humboldt University Theorie-Seminar, 2023/11/09



### Outline

#### **I. Introduction to Feynman integrals**

- **II. Analytical computation**
- **III. Semi-analytical computation**
- **IV. Feynman integrals as special functions**
- V. Summary and outlook

### Quantum field theory

#### > The only way to combine quantum and relativity

- Uncertainty principle: Large energy probed at short time
- Relativity: Energy can produce mass and particles, thus multi-particle system

#### > The foundational theoretical framework of physics

- Particle physics, nuclear physics
- Many-body quantum system, cold atom physics
- Gravitational waves

• ...

### Path integral formula for QFT

#### Green functions:

$$\langle \Omega | T \mathcal{O}(\hat{\phi}) | \Omega \rangle = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{O}(\phi) e^{\mathbf{i}S[\phi]}}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{\mathbf{i}S[\phi]}}$$

Definition of path integral

$$\mathcal{D}\phi \sim \prod_{x} \int d\phi_x$$

- Integrate over fields at each spacetime point
- Divergent due to infinite number of spacetime points

### Two ways to compute QFT (path integrals)

Numerical computation via Monte Carlo

Nonperturbative lattice QFT

Expanding to asymptotic series

• Perturbative QFT



 $\int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{O}(\phi) e^{\mathrm{i}S[\phi]}$ 

Complementary to each other

## Solving QFT nonperturbatively

> Discretize spacetime: reduction to finite degrees of freedom > Imaginary time ( $t \rightarrow i \tau$ ): avoidance of oscillatory behavior

Computing path integrals via Monte Carlo simulation



## Solving QFT nonperturbatively

#### Difficulties

- Computational complexity scales as  $O(Q^4)$ , hard for high energy Q physics
- Hard for time-dependent observables, like scattering processes





## Solving QFT perturbatively

#### Perturbation: expanding interacting terms as small numbers

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_I \qquad \int \mathcal{D}\phi \Big( 1 + \mathbf{i} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \mathcal{L}_I(\phi) + \cdots \Big) e^{\mathbf{i} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x (\mathcal{L}_0 + J\phi)}$$

- Valid only if coupling is small!
- Integration over fields: Gaussian integrals, can be worked out
- Result in lots of Feynman diagrams



### Perturbative QFT computation

- 1. Generate Feynman amplitudes
  - Feynman diagrams and Feynman rules



2. Calculate Feynman loop integrals (FIs)

Amplitudes: linear combinations of FIs with rational coefficients

### 3. Perform phase-space integrations

- Monte Carlo simulation with IR subtractions
- Relating to loop integrals via reverse unitarity

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D p}{(2\pi)^D} (2\pi) \delta_+(p^2) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \left( \frac{\mathrm{i}}{p^2 + \mathrm{i}0^+} + \frac{-\mathrm{i}}{p^2 - \mathrm{i}0^+} \right)$$

### **Definition of Feynman integrals**

#### > A family of Feynman integrals

$$I_{\vec{\nu}}(D,\vec{s}) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{L} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D}\ell_{i}}{\mathrm{i}\pi^{D/2}} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{K+1}^{-\nu_{K+1}} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{N}^{-\nu_{N}}}{(\mathcal{D}_{1} + \mathrm{i}0^{+})^{\nu_{1}} \cdots (\mathcal{D}_{K} + \mathrm{i}0^{+})^{\nu_{K}}}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha i j} \ell_i \cdot \ell_j + B_{\alpha i j} \ell_i \cdot p_j + C_{\alpha}$$

- $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_L$ : loop momenta;  $p_1, \dots, p_E$ : external momenta;
- *A*, *B*: integers; *C*: linear combination of  $\vec{s}$  (including masses)
- $\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K$ : inverse propagators;  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_K$ : integers
- $\mathcal{D}_{K+1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_N$ : irreducible scalar products;  $v_{K+1}, \dots, v_N$ : non-negative integers
- *D*: dimensional regularization, avoid infinities (originated from path integrals)

### Nature of FIs

#### Remnants of path integrals

• After integrated out fields, integration over the position/momentum of fields remains

#### > Complexity of path integrals $\Rightarrow$ complexity of FIs

- Resurgence theory: the perturbative series can eventually recover all nonperturbative information of quantum field theory
- Simpler for small number of loops
- Should be extremely hard as the number of loops increasing

## Challenges of computing FIs

#### Long-standing challenging problem

• One-loop computation: satisfactory approach existed as early as 1970s

't Hooft, Veltman, NPB (1979)

• Multi-loop computation: challenging the field for more than 40 years

#### Difficulties of computing FIs

- Analytical: known special functions are insufficient to express multi-loop FIs
- Numerical: (from path integrals) UV, IR, integrable singularities, ...

### Outline

I. Introduction to Feynman integrals

**II. Analytical computation** 

**III. Semi-analytical computation** 

**IV. Feynman integrals as special functions** 

V. Summary and outlook

### Integration-by-parts: example

• A family of FIs: 
$$F(n) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D \ell}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(\ell^2 - \Delta)^n}$$

#### > Vanishing on the big hypersphere with radius R

Lagrange, Gauss, Green, Ostrogradski, 1760s-1830s 't Hooft, Veltman, NPB (1972)  

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D \ell}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell^{\mu}} \left[ \frac{\ell^{\mu}}{(\ell^2 - \Delta)^n} \right] \stackrel{\text{l}}{=} \int_{\partial} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D-1} S_{\mu}}{(2\pi)^D} \left[ \frac{\ell^{\mu}}{(\ell^2 - \Delta)^n} \right] \stackrel{\text{l}}{=} 0.$$

- Integrand: fixed power in R; Measure:  $R^{D-1}$
- Thus vanishing in dimensional regularization

#### Relations between FIs

$$0 = \int_{\ell} \left[ \frac{D}{(\ell^2 - \Delta)^n} - n \int_{\ell} \frac{2(\ell^2 - \Delta) + 2\Delta}{(\ell^2 - \Delta)^{n+1}} \right] = (D - 2n)F(n) - 2n\Delta F(n+1)$$
$$F(n+1) = \frac{1}{-\Delta} \frac{n - \frac{D}{2}}{n}F(n)$$

• All FIs in this family can be expressed by F(1)

### **General IBP equations**

#### > Dimensional regularization: vanish at boundary

't Hooft, Veltman, NPB (1972) Chetyrkin, Tkachov, NPB (1981)

• Linear equation: 
$$\sum_{\vec{\nu'}} Q^{\vec{\nu}jk}_{\vec{\nu'}}(D,\vec{s}) I_{\vec{\nu'}}(D,\vec{s}) = 0$$

- *Q*: polynomials in *D*,  $\vec{s}$
- Plenty of linear equations can be easily obtained by varying:  $\vec{v}$ , *j*, *k*

### **IBP** reduction

#### > A family of FIs form a FINITE-dim. linear space

Proved by: Smirnov, Petukhov, 1004.4199

- Bases of the linear space called master integrals (MIs)
- IBPs reduce plenty of FIs to much less MIs

$$I_{\vec{\nu}} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} c_i I_i$$

#### > Solving IBP eqs. based on Laporta's algorithm:

Laporta, 0102033

- Automatic, any-loop order
- Public codes: AIR, FIRE, LiteRed, Reduze, Kira, FiniteFlow, NeatIBP, Blade...
- Many more private codes

### Differential equations: example

#### > Due to IBP: DEs of MIs



Boundary Condition

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{11}|_{m^2 \to 0} = (-s)^{D/2-2} \Gamma(2-D/2) \frac{\Gamma(D/2-1)^2}{\Gamma(D-2)} \\ I_{10} \end{bmatrix}$$

### **DEs method**

#### > Step 1: Set up $\vec{s}$ -DEs of MIs

Kotikov, PLB(1991)

- Differentiate MIs w.r.t. invariants  $\vec{s}$ , such as  $m^2$ ,  $p_i \cdot p_j$
- IBP relations result in:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s_i} \boldsymbol{I}(\epsilon, \vec{s}) = A_i(\epsilon, \vec{s}) \boldsymbol{I}(\epsilon, \vec{s})$$

- *A<sub>i</sub>*: matrix with rational elements
- > Step 2: Calculate boundary condition at a given value of  $\vec{s}$

#### > Step 3: Solve DEs either analytically or numerically

### **Canonical form**

> In some cases, choosing proper basis

Henn, 1304.1806

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s_i} \boldsymbol{I}'(\epsilon, \vec{s}) = \epsilon A'_i(\vec{s}) \boldsymbol{I}'(\epsilon, \vec{s})$$

#### $\succ$ Solution after expanding $\epsilon$ : Multiple Polylogarithms

$$G(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n; z) := \int_0^z \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t - a_1} G(a_2, \cdots, a_n; t),$$
$$G(\overbrace{0, \cdots, 0}^n; z) := \frac{1}{n!} \log^n z, \quad G(; z) := 1.$$

- Properties well-known, easy to obtain numerical values
- Many cutting-edge problems have been solved in this way!

### Beyond Multiple Polylogarithms

Elliptic functions

• Appear as early as equal mass sunrise diagram!



More complicated functions exist, like defined by Calabi-Yau manifold

- Not well-studied mathematical objects
- Hard to obtain numerical values

Studying these mathematical objects: a hot topic

### Outline

#### I. Introduction to Feynman integrals

**II. Analytical computation** 

**III. Semi-analytical computation** 

**IV. Feynman integrals as special functions** 

V. Summary and outlook

### Auxiliary mass terms

#### >Auxiliary FIs

$$I_{\vec{\nu}}^{\mathrm{aux}}(D,\vec{s},\eta) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{L} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D}\ell_{i}}{\mathrm{i}\pi^{D/2}} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{K+1}^{-\nu_{K+1}} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{N}^{-\nu_{N}}}{(\mathcal{D}_{1} - \lambda_{1}\eta + \mathrm{i}0^{+})^{\nu_{1}} \cdots (\mathcal{D}_{K} - \lambda_{K}\eta + \mathrm{i}0^{+})^{\nu_{K}}}$$

- $\lambda_i \ge 0$  (typically 0 or 1), an auxiliary mass if  $\lambda_i > 0$
- Analytical function of  $\eta$
- Physical result obtained by (causality)

$$I_{\vec{\nu}}(D,\vec{s}) \equiv \lim_{\eta \to i0^{-}} I_{\vec{\nu}}^{\mathrm{aux}}(D,\vec{s},\eta)$$

• 1) Setup  $\eta$ -DEs; 2) Calculate boundary conditions; 3) Solve  $\eta$ -DEs

#### $\gg \eta$ -DEs for MIs in auxiliary family using IBP

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \vec{I}^{\text{aux}}(D, \vec{s}, \eta) = A(D, \vec{s}, \eta) \vec{I}^{\text{aux}}(D, \vec{s}, \eta)$$

#### X. Liu, YQM, C. Y. Wang, 1711.09572



Xiao Liu, Oxford U.



Chen-Yu Wang, MPP

### Boundary values at $\eta \to \infty$

#### $\succ$ Nonzero integration regions as $\eta \to \infty$

- Linear combinations of loop momenta:  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{|\eta|})$  or  $\mathcal{O}(1)$
- $\succ$  Simplify propagators at  $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ 
  - $\ell_L$  is the  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{|\eta|})$  part of loop momenta
  - $\ell_S$  is the  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  part of loop momenta
  - p is linear combination of external momenta

$$\frac{1}{(\ell_{\rm L}+\ell_{\rm S}+p)^2-m^2-\kappa\,\eta}\sim\frac{1}{\ell_{\rm L}^2-\kappa\,\eta}$$

• Unchange if  $\ell_L = 0$  and  $\kappa = 0$ 

### Boundary FIs are simpler

- 1. Vacuum integrals
- 2. Simpler FIs with less denominators, if all loop momenta are  $\mathcal{O}(1)$

Beneke, Smirnov, 9711391 Smirnov, 9907471

Tips: *Strategy of regions* is very powerful and useful. It is the rationale of effective field theory.

### Flow of auxiliary mass

#### Solve ODEs of MIs



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \vec{I}^{\mathrm{aux}}(D, \vec{s}, \eta) = A(D, \vec{s}, \eta) \vec{I}^{\mathrm{aux}}(D, \vec{s}, \eta)$$

- If  $\vec{I}^{aux}(D, \vec{s}, \infty)$  is known, solving ODEs numerically to obtain  $\vec{I}^{aux}(D, \vec{s}, i0^-)$
- A well-studied mathematical problem

Step1: Asymptotic expansion at  $\eta = \infty$ Step2: Taylor expansion at analytical points Step3: Asymptotic expansion at  $\eta = 0$ 

• Efficient to get high precision : ODEs, known singularity structure

### Iterative strategy: FIs with less denominators

#### > For boundary FIs with less denominators:

X. Liu, YQM, 2107.01864

 Calculate them again use AMF method, even simpler boundary FIs as input (besides vacuum integrals)



• Eventually, leaving only (single-mass) vacuum integrals as input

### Iterative strategy: vacuum integrals





Zhi-Feng Liu, Zhejiang U.

- *L*-loop vacuum integrals expressed by (L-1)-loop p-integrals
- Using AMFLow: L-loop vacuum integrals reduced to (L-1)-loop vacuum integrals



Zero input; valid to any loop

### **AMFlow: Package**

#### Download

Liu, YQM, 2201.11669

#### Link: <u>https://gitlab.com/multiloop-pku/amflow</u>

Nam e	Last commit	Last update
🗅 diffeq_solver	update	5 months ago
🗅 examples	update	3 months ago
D ibp_interface	fix_a_bug_for_mpi_version	1 week ago
C AMFlow.m	fix mass mode	2 months ago
M+ CHANGELOG.md	update changelog	1 week ago
₩ FAQ.md	update	6 months ago
😜 LICENSE.md	test	7 months ago
M README.md	update	3 months ago
b options_summary	update	3 months ago

#### ➢ Feature

The first package that can calculate any FI (with any number of loops, any *D* and *s*) to arbitrary precision, *given sufficient resource*

### Phenomenological applications

### Compute FIs point by point using AMFlow?

- Easy to implement and to parallelize
- But ignoring the fact that the value of FIs at two nearby points have small difference
- Not an efficient way



### **AMFlow+kinematic DEs**

#### Information of kinematic DEs

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s_i} \boldsymbol{I}(\epsilon, \vec{s}) = A_i(\epsilon, \vec{s}) \boldsymbol{I}(\epsilon, \vec{s})$$

- Tell how FIs change in the kinematic space
- Very efficient when two points are close to each other

### > Tips

- Zero-dim.: a number computed by AMFlow
- One-dim.: series solutions to cover all interested regions
- Low dim.: a grid (of series solutions)
- High dim.: importance sampling



### Outline

I. Introduction to Feynman integrals

- **II. Analytical computation**
- **III. Semi-analytical computation**

**IV. Feynman integrals as special functions** 

V. Summary and outlook

### Short summary

#### > Analytical computation

• In general involving not well-studied special functions, which are hard to obtain numerical values

#### Semi-analytical computation

- General enough to deal with any FI
- Can obtain numerical values to arbitrary precision

#### > Can we define MIs as special functions?

• What are still missing for this purpose?

### Special functions

#### > Typically require the following conditions:

- 1. Having both integral and differential representations
- 2. Clear singularities and branching cuts
- 3. Availability of expansions to Taylor series or asymptotic series

### Facilitate the exploration of global and local properties, as well as efficient evaluation

### 1. Integral and differential representations

#### > Integral representation: Yes

#### Differential representation: Yes

- Have DEs w.r.t. kinematic variables, boundary conditions provided by AMFlow
- Note: no differential equations w.r.t.  $\epsilon$ , it should be thought as a parameter

#### ➤ Wish list

• Choosing better MIs, so that DEs are simple, no spurious poles; the method must be systematic, applicable to general cases beyond MPLs

### 2. Singularities and branching cuts

#### Singularities: Yes

- Determined by Landau equations, but are hard to solve
- Subset of poles in DEs; spurious poles can be checked by solving DEs going around it

#### > Branching cuts: maybe

- Clear for simple cases, by studying the Feynman prescription  $i 0^+$
- No good method for general case, especially when there are cut propagators  $1/\mathcal{D} \to \delta(\mathcal{D})$
- Bottom line: compute many points around a singularity using AMFlow, comparing with running using DEs

#### ➤ Wish list

- A better way to determine singularities: solving Landau equations or other ways
- A better way to identify branching cuts

### 3. Taylor or asymptotic series

#### > Yes

• Can do the expansion at any points using DEs

### Efficiency

• Depending on the complexity of DEs, helpful to have better MIs

### Bonus

 $\blacktriangleright$  Exhausting relations among coefficients of  $\epsilon$  expansion

$$oldsymbol{I}(\epsilon,ec{s}\ ) = \sum_i oldsymbol{I}^{(i)}(ec{s}\ )\epsilon^i$$

- More relations exists after expansion, how to systematically find these relations?
- A famous example, one-loop 5-point function expressed by 4-point functions
- Bottom line: PSLQ fit with high-precision input using AMFlow

#### Relations to not well-studied special functions

• Instead of using other special functions to study FIs, using FIs to study these special functions

- > Solving QFT perturbatively: important for many fields
- > One of the main challenges: FIs computation
- > Analytical computation of FIs: fruitful, but has clear obstacles
- Semi-analytical computation of FIs: general enough
- Constructive to define FIs as special functions

### Outlook

#### Plenty things to do

- $(1)\ \mbox{Systematical way to choose better MIs}$
- **2** Systematical way to determine singularities
- **③** Systematical way to identify branching cuts
- (4) Systematical way to find relations after  $\epsilon$  expansion
- **5** Improve the efficiency of IBP reduction: the main bottleneck for many problems

# Thank you!

### Era of precision physics at the LHC

#### > High-precision data

- Many observables probed at precent-level precision
- At least NNLO QCD corrections generally required (plus NLO EW, parton shower, resummation, etc.)



#### Automatic higher order perturbative calculation is highly demanded

Note: Automatic NLO correction obtained 15 years ago: MadGraph, Helac, etc