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ABSTRACT

We study all the integrals (loop integrals and phase-space integrals) required for NNLO mixed QCD×EW corrections to Z production.
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**NNLO QCDxEW corrections to on-shell Z production**,  
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**NNLO QCDxEW corrections to Z production in the qq⁻ channel**,  
✓ One of the standard candle processes
  - Large cross section and clean experimental signature - important for detector calibration and constraining parton distribution functions

✓ Precise predictions for electroweak parameter
  - $W$ boson mass ($m_W$), Weak mixing angle ($\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}$) ... ($\delta m_W < 5 \text{ MeV}$ and $\delta \sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}} < 0.0001$ would provide very stringent test of the SM likelihood.)

✓ New physics potential
  - Many BSM scenarios with same final states - $W'$, $Z'$, $KK$ modes etc.
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• The hadron colliders are aiming for per-mille precision. To match that accuracy, we need to include higher-order contributions. The higher the orders we can include, the better it is.

• Inclusion of higher-order contributions in the perturbative expansion also reduce the uncertainties arising from unphysical scales (renormalization and factorization scales).
\[ \alpha_s(m_Z) \simeq 0.118 \quad \alpha(m_Z) \simeq 0.0078 \quad \frac{\alpha_s(m_Z)}{\alpha(m_Z)} \simeq 15.1 \quad \frac{\alpha_s^2(m_Z)}{\alpha(m_Z)} \simeq 1.8 \]

1. From naive argument of coupling strength, N^3\text{LO QCD} \sim \text{mixed NNLO QCD} \otimes \text{EW}.

2. However, in specific phase-space points, fixed order EW corrections can become very large because of logarithmic (weak and QED Sudakov type) enhancement. They need to be resummed to all orders, if possible.

3. NLO EW effects are large for $W$ mass measurements. Hence, one needs to include mixed QCD$\otimes$EW corrections while aiming for $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ precision.

4. The appearance of photon induced processes $\Rightarrow$ photon PDFs.

To achieve $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ precision for $m_W$, we need the lepton distributions at per-mille. This implies the NNLO mixed QCD$\times$EW corrections are necessary.

In this talk, we present the first step, the NNLO mixed QCD$\times$EW corrections to Z boson production, towards obtaining the results for full Drell-Yan.
The Lagrangian has 3 inputs \((g, g', v)\). More observables (like \(G_\mu, \alpha, m_W, m_Z, \sin \theta_W\)) are experimentally measured and can be considered as input parameters in different schemes. Such two schemes are

1. \(G_\mu\)-scheme: where \((G_\mu, m_W, m_Z)\) are considered as input
2. \(\alpha(0)\)-scheme: where \((\alpha, m_W, m_Z)\) are considered as input

The relation between \(G_\mu\) and \(\alpha\) gets EW and mixed QCD⊗EW corrections.

\[
\frac{G_\mu}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\pi \alpha}{2 \sin^2 \theta_W \cos^2 \theta_W m_Z^2} (1 + \Delta r)
\]

At LO, \(\alpha(G_\mu)\) and \(\alpha(0)\) differs by 3.53%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>order</th>
<th>(G_\mu)-scheme</th>
<th>(\alpha(0))-scheme</th>
<th>(\delta_{G_\mu-\alpha(0)}) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LO</td>
<td>48882</td>
<td>47215</td>
<td>3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLO QCD (LO + (\Delta_{10}))</td>
<td>55732</td>
<td>53831</td>
<td>3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNLO QCD (LO + (\Delta_{10} + \Delta_{20}))</td>
<td>55651</td>
<td>53753</td>
<td>3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLO EW (LO + (\Delta_{01}))</td>
<td>48732</td>
<td>48477</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO + (\Delta_{10} + \Delta_{01})</td>
<td>55582</td>
<td>55093</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ \sigma_{\text{tot}}(z) = \sum_{i,j \in q, \bar{q}, g, \gamma} \int dx_1 dx_2 \ f_i(x_1, \mu_F) f_j(x_2, \mu_F) \sigma_{ij}(z, \varepsilon, \mu_F) \]

In the full QCD-EW SM, we have a double expansion of the partonic cross sections in the electromagnetic and strong coupling constants, $\alpha$ and $\alpha_s$, respectively:

\[ \sigma_{ij}(z) = \sigma_{ij}^{(0)} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_s^m \alpha^n \sigma_{ij}^{(m,n)}(z) \]

\[ = \sigma_{ij}^{(0)} \left[ \sigma_{ij}^{(0,0)}(z) \right. \]

\[ + \alpha_s \sigma_{ij}^{(1,0)}(z) + \alpha \sigma_{ij}^{(0,1)}(z) \]

\[ + \alpha_s^2 \sigma_{ij}^{(2,0)}(z) + \alpha \alpha_s \sigma_{ij}^{(1,1)}(z) + \alpha^2 \sigma_{ij}^{(0,2)}(z) \]

\[ + \alpha_s^3 \sigma_{ij}^{(3,0)}(z) + \alpha \alpha_s^2 \sigma_{ij}^{(2,1)}(z) + \alpha^2 \alpha_s \sigma_{ij}^{(1,2)}(z) + \cdots \]
Anatomy of NNLO contributions for Z production

**Pure Virtual**

- Integrating the virtual loop momenta, widely studied and understood
- The integrals result in constants (MZVs and cyclotomic constants)

**Phase-space integrals**

- Integrating the momenta of real-emitted particles
- Often performed numerically
- To obtain inclusive production cross-section, we require an analytic computation
- These integrals contain standard HPLs and elliptic polylogarithms
Anatomy of NNLO contributions for Z production

For different vector bosons, the contribution can be organized into four types

- QCD⊗QED : $\gamma$ propagator in the loop / emission of $\gamma$
- EW1 : single $Z$ propagator in the loop
- EW2 : single $W$ propagator in the loop
- EW3 : Contributions with $WWZ$ vertex

Emission of massive boson is infrared finite, hence, is treated as separate process.

**gauge invariant and finite** : QCD⊗QED, EW1, EW2+EW3
The generic procedure

- Diagrammatic approach $\rightarrow$ QGRAF [Nogueira] to generate diagrams $(10^2 - 10^3)$
- In-house FORM routines [Vermaseren] for algebraic manipulation:
  \textit{Lorentz, Dirac and Color} [Ritbergen, Schellekens, Vermaseren] algebra
- Reverse unitarity: phase-space integrals to loop integrals
  \[
  \delta(k^2 - m^2) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1}{k^2 - m^2 + i0} - \frac{1}{k^2 - m^2 - i0} \right)
  \]
- Decomposition of the dot products to obtain scalar integrals $(10^5 - 10^6)$
  \[
  \frac{2l.p}{l^2(l-p)^2} = \frac{l^2 - (l-p)^2 + p^2}{l^2(l-p)^2} = \frac{1}{(l-p)^2} - \frac{1}{l^2} + \frac{p^2}{l^2(l-p)^2}
  \]
- Identity relations $(10^5 - 10^6)$ among scalar integrals: IBPs, LIs & SRs
- Algebraic linear system of equations relating the integrals
  \textit{LiteRed} $\downarrow$ \textit{LiteRed}
  Master integrals (MIs) $(10 - 10^2)$
- Computation of MIs: \textit{Differential equations}
Comments on the method of differential equations:
1. We do not use canonical form for the system of differential equations.
2. Hence, we find coupled differential equations which we decouple at each order in $\epsilon$.

on the dependence on $m_W$ and $m_Z$:
1. For convenience, the calculation of the MIs that depend on two different masses ($m_Z$ and $m_W$) is done performing an expansion of the integrand in powers of the ratio $\delta_{m^2} = (m_Z^2 - m_W^2)/m_Z^2$. 

More notations

The variable $z$ and its various forms

$$ z = \frac{t}{(1 + t)^2} = \frac{\rho}{(1 - \rho + \rho^2)} = \frac{w}{1 - w^2}. $$

Appearing kernels and the corresponding letters

$\{-1, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\} \equiv \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + x}, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + x}, \frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} - x}, \frac{1}{1 - x} \right\}$

$\{3, 0\}, \{3, 1\}, \{6, 0\}, \{6, 1\} \equiv \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + x + x^2}, \frac{x}{1 + x + x^2}, \frac{1}{1 - x + x^2}, \frac{x}{1 - x + x^2} \right\}$

$\{4, 1\}, i_1, -i_2 \equiv \left\{ \frac{x}{1 + x^2}, \frac{1}{i_1 - x}, \frac{1}{i_2 + x} \right\}$

where $i_1$ and $i_2$ are given by

$$ i_1 = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} \equiv 0.618034 \ldots, \quad i_2 = \frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2} \equiv 1.618034 \ldots $$
Computing the double-virtual

1. We compute the integrals considering off-shell Z.
2. We define the variables $x$ and $x_L$, for single and double mass case, respectively as $x = -\frac{q^2}{m^2} = \frac{(1-x_L)^2}{x_L}$.
3. The boundary conditions are obtained for $x, x_L = 1$.
4. The result is written in HPLs with alphabet $\{-1, 0, 1, \{6, 0\}, \{6, 1\}\}$. 
Computing the double-virtual

\[ \times \]

To achieve the on-shell result, appropriate limit needs to be taken.

• For EW1, the limit is \( x \to -1 \).

• For EW2, instead of taking the limit \( x_L \to 1 - \frac{m_Z^2}{2m_W^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{m_Z^2}{m_W^2} \left( \frac{m_Z^2}{m_W^2} - 4 \right)} \), we do a Taylor series expansion around \( \delta m^2 = 0 \).

• This produces HPLs (constants) with argument \( r_2 = \frac{1}{2} - i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \).

• Finally, we reduce all these constants to a basis (introduced by [Henn, Smirnov, Smirnov]).

\[
H[\_, -1], H[\_, r_2] \Rightarrow \{ \pi, \ln 2, \ln 3, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \ldots, G_R[\_], G_I[\_] \}
\]

The basis is very important for analytic cancellation of singularities.
Computing the real-virtual

- Reverse unitarity → IBP → MIs → $\frac{d}{dz}$ → Solve the diff. eqns.
- The following kernels appear

\[
\frac{1}{1 + z'} \frac{1}{z'} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} - z'} \frac{1}{1 - z'} \frac{1}{1 - z + z'^2} \frac{1}{1 - z + z^2'} \frac{1}{z \sqrt{1 - z} \sqrt{1 + 3z}} \frac{1}{z \sqrt{1 + 4z^2}}
\]

- However, the numerical evaluation of the iterated integrals with square-root letters is not efficient.

- Instead of using a single transformation rule to rationalize them, we write the system (each MI) as sum of functions of dependent variables and separately treat them. As a result, each sub-system has alphabet with ‘good’ letters $(-1, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \{6, 0\}, \{6, 1\}, i_1, -i_2)$ with different argument $(z, \rho, w)$. 
Computing the real-virtual (example)

Let’s consider two integrals \( \{ J_1, J_2 \} \) such that

\[
J'_1 = a_1(d, z)J_1 + r_1(d, z); \quad J'_2 = a_2(d, z)J_2 + b_2(d, z)J_1 + r_2(d, z)
\]

- the solution of \( J_1 \) involves a square-root letter,
- the homogeneous solution of \( J_2 \) contains standard kernel.

Expecting that all the coefficient of the poles should have a simpler/standard HPLs, we look for a combination \( J_0 \equiv f_1(z)J_1 + f_2(z)J_2 \), such that

\[
J'_0 = a_0(d, z)J_0 + (d - 4)b_0(d, z)J_1 + r_0(d, z).
\]

This allows poles with simpler HPLs.

For the finite contributions from \( J_0 \), of course, the iterative integral over square-root will be present, along with standard HPLs. We perform variable transformation for only the square-root and associated terms to rationalize and write the non-homogeneous part as the following sum \( \text{nonh} = \text{nonh}(z) + \text{nonh}(w) \). Thus we avoid square-root letters in the alphabet which allows a smooth numerical evaluation.
Computing the real-virtual (example)

\[ J_{1}^{(-1)} = \frac{w^2}{1 - w^4} \left( i\pi (3H_0(w) + H_1(w) + H_{-1}(w)) - 7H_{0,0}(w) - 4H_{0,1}(w) \right. \]
\[ + 3H_{0,-i_2}(w) - 3H_{0,i_1}(w) + 4H_{0,-1}(w) - H_{1,0}(w) - H_{1,i_1}(w) - H_{-1,0}(w) \]
\[ - H_{-1,i_1}(w) + 3\zeta_2 + H_{1,-i_2}(w) + H_{-1,-i_2}(w) \right) \]
\[ J_{0}^{(0)} = z^2 \left( -9\zeta_3 + \cdots - 2H_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0}(z) - 2H_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},1}(z) - 5H_{\frac{1}{2},0,0}(z) - 6H_{\frac{1}{2},0,1}(z) \right. \]
\[ - 4H_{\frac{1}{2},1,0}(z) - 5H_{\frac{1}{2},1,1}(z) + 12H_{1,0,0}(z) + 14H_{1,1,0}(z) + 19H_{1,1,1}(z) + \cdots \right) \]
\[ + \frac{w^2}{(1 - w^2)^2} \left( -3H_{-1}(w)\zeta_2 + \cdots + H_{1,-1,0}(w) + 3H_{1,0,i_1}(w) - 3H_{1,0,-i_2}(w) \right. \]
\[ + H_{1,1,i_1}(w) + H_{1,-1,i_1}(w) - H_{1,1,-i_2}(w) - H_{1,-1,-i_2}(w) + \cdots \right) \]
The generic idea

Compute the exact solution of the one-loop integral (with massive lines) and take the soft limit. ⇒ Compute the two-body phase-space integral.

However, for massive boxes, we take the following approach.

• We assume a structure for the massive box with unknown constant coefficients.
• With the box, there can be two RV integrals: without (6-den) and with (7-den) the 't'-propagator. We obtain the soft limit of the 6-den integral using IBP reduction rules.
• We also obtain the soft limit of the 6-den using the assumed structure. The comparison between these results for 6-den provides the unknown coefficients.
• Finally, we use these coefficients to evaluate the 7-den.
Computing the double-real

• Reverse unitarity $\rightarrow$ IBP $\rightarrow$ MIs $\rightarrow$ $\frac{d}{dz}$ $\rightarrow$ Solve the diff. eqns.

• The following kernels appear

$$\frac{1}{1 + z}, \frac{1}{1 + z'}, \frac{1}{z}, \frac{1}{z'}, \frac{1}{1 - z}, \frac{1}{1 - z'}, \frac{1}{z + z'}, \frac{1}{z + z'}, \frac{1}{1 - z + z^2}, \frac{1}{1 - z + z^2'}, \frac{1}{z + z^2}, \frac{1}{z + z^2'}, \frac{1}{z\sqrt{1 - z}}, \frac{1}{z\sqrt{1 + 3z}}$$

• Similar to RV, we write the system (each MI) as sum of functions of dependent variables and separately treat them. As a result, each sub-system has alphabet with 'good' letters $(-1, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \{3, 0\}, \{3, 1\}, \{6, 0\}, \{6, 1\}, \{4, 1\})$ with different argument $(z, \rho, t)$. 
Computing the double-real (Elliptic)

This topology produces a $3 \times 3$ system which is not first-order factorizable, giving a set of elliptic integrals $\{I_1, I_2, I_3\}$, the homogeneous part of which is the same as the one studied for the corresponding virtual diagram by Aglietti, Bonciani, Grassi & Remiddi and Broedel, Duhr, Dulat, Penante & Tancredi to obtain the results in terms of elliptic integrals of the first kind and eMPLs, respectively.

In each order of $\epsilon$-expansion, the $3 \times 3$ system reduces to $2 \times 2$ and $1 \times 1$ sub-systems.

The system can be solved with standard HPLs in the poles and eMPLs in the finite part and higher $\epsilon$ orders.
**Computing the double-real (Elliptic)**

However, the IBP reduction introduces a $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ in the coefficient of these integrals which implies the integrals need to be computed up to $O(\epsilon)$ and the finite part of the integrals (contain eMPLs) contribute to single pole of the matrix element.

Expecting the simpler polylogarithmic structure (no eMPL) of the single pole of the matrix element, we find the following combination of the elliptic masters (contributing to single pole) and solve for the ordinary d.e. in terms of HPLs.

$$I_{0}^{(n)} = z(1 + 2z)I_{1}^{(n)} + z(1 - 4z)I_{2}^{(n)} - (1 + 5z)I_{3}^{(n)}.$$  

We find the solutions

$$I_{0}^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{2}z^{2}(-1 + 4z)H_{0}(z)$$

$$I_{0}^{(0)} = \left(-\frac{5z^{2}}{2} + \frac{6z^{4}}{-1 + z}\right)H_{0,0}(z) + 2z^{2}(-1 + 4z)H_{0,1}(z) + 2(1 - 4z)z^{2}\zeta_{2}$$

The solutions provide analytic cancellation of the single pole. Of course, the combination does not remain independent of $I_{2}, I_{3}$ for $I_{0}^{(1)}$, which contribute with eMPLs to the finite part of the matrix element.
Computing the double-real (Elliptic)

- To avoid the numerical evaluation of the eMPLs, we expand in logarithmic series the solutions for $I_2$ and $I_3$ around $z = 1, \frac{1}{2}$ and 0, imposing initial conditions in $z \to 1$ and matching the different series in intermediate points.
- We replace $I_0$ for $I_1$ and compute the HPL-dependent part of $I_0$ in closed form and the rest in expansion.
- In the end, we have part of the result of these elliptic integrals in closed form and the rest in expansion which enables us for a smooth numerical evaluation.

$I_1^{(0)}$ in blue, $I_2^{(0)}$ in yellow, $I_3^{(0)}$ in green, $I_0^{(1\text{---expanded})}$ in red
Boundary values for the double-real

- We use the soft limit $z \to 1$ of the integrals as the boundary values.
- We scale the momenta of the real-emitted particles as $l_{1,2} \to (1 - z)l_{1,2}$. All the scalar product will then scale as $(1 - z)$, at least.
- The massless integrals can be obtained using the parametrization adopted for the NNLO Higgs calculation.
- For $Z$-boson production, we can use this parametrization such that the massive lines do not contribute to the soft limit.
Ultraviolet renormalization

- The Born contribution is zeroth order in $\alpha_s$, hence no $\alpha_s$ renormalization is needed.
- Renormalization of quark wave function receives one-loop EW and two-loop mixed QCD⊗EW contributions in the on-shell scheme.
- The neutral current vertex is renormalized using background field gauge, with the advantage that the vertex and propagator contributions are separately UV finite.
- The UV counter terms get contributions from two-point functions.

The UV renormalized matrix-elements are finally combined with appropriate mass counter terms to obtain the finite partonic cross sections ($\sigma_{ij}^{(1,1)}$).
Numerical evaluation

We perform the convolution of the physical parton densities with the finite partonic cross-sections through two parallel **FORTRAN** codes to obtain the inclusive production cross-section.

In one code, we use **HarmonicSums** and **GiNaC** to evaluate $\sigma_{ij}(z)$ and save them as grids. Next, we use an interpolation routine to perform the convolution. Each $\sigma_{ij}(z)$ can be evaluated for 1000 points in a single-core in minutes, due to the compact structure.

In the other, we use **handyG** to evaluate $\sigma_{ij}(z)$ during convolution integration.
The finite partonic cross-sections for the particular process $u\bar{u} \rightarrow Z + X$.

It is interesting to note the 'kink' at $z = \frac{1}{4}$ in the weak contributions, arising from the di-boson production threshold.
## Results!

Inclusive production cross-section for $Z$ boson at 13 TeV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NNLO QCD +</th>
<th>$G_\mu$</th>
<th>$\alpha(0)$</th>
<th>$\delta G_\mu - \alpha(0)$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{NLO-EW}}$</td>
<td>55501</td>
<td>55015</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{NLO-EW}} + \delta_{\text{NNLO-QCD}\times\text{QED}}$</td>
<td>55516</td>
<td>55029</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{NLO-EW}} + \delta_{\text{NNLO-QCD}\times\text{EW}}$</td>
<td>55469</td>
<td>55340</td>
<td><strong>0.23</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- We use NNPDF31_nnlo_as_0118_luxqed_nf_4 pdfset.
- The mixed NNLO QCD\times QED correction is 0.03% of the Born, while the mixed NNLO QCD\times EW correction is negative and larger than the earlier by almost a factor of 3, providing per mille correction to the Born.
- After including the mixed NNLO QCD\times EW correction, the spread between two schemes reduces to 0.23%.
Summarizing

• The mixed NNLO QCD-EW contributions to Drell-Yan production are much sought for. We make an advancement by obtaining analytic results for on-shell $Z$ boson production.

• The method of reverse unitarity allows us to use the techniques (IBP, DE) of loop calculation for the phase-space integrals.

• We have computed the two-loop virtual and phase-space integrals with massive lines.

• The solutions are obtained mostly in terms of HPLs and special constants (MZV and cyclotomic HPL at 1). The contributions from eMPLs are obtained as expansion.

• Cross checks
  - analytically and numerically with available QCD×QED results.
  - within expected numerical accuracy with the Monte-Carlo computation.
Remarks

1. The computation of the master integrals for virtual and phase-space integrals, is challenging. It is possible due to the current development in loop computations.

2. Understanding the polylogarithms in great detail helps in such calculation. For example, reducing all the polylogarithmic constants to a basis is necessary to achieve the analytic cancellation of the divergences.

3. Manipulation of polylogarithmic functions allows to achieve a compact solution which in turn is very much helpful for fast and efficient numerical evaluation.

Thank you for your attention!