Some issues in the computation of 2-loop amplitudes $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZH$

Long Chen

Max Planck Institute for Physics, Munich, Germany

Seminar, Berlin, January 9



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)

T. Ahmed, W. Bernreuther, A. H. Ajjath, P. K. Dhani, P. Mukherjee, V. Ravindran, based on JHEP01(2020)030 [arXiv: 1910.06347] and work in progress

 $h(125) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

The discovery of the h(125) at the LHC (2012)





$h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ finally observed recently at the LHC in the VH-events!



The measured signal strength $\mu = 1.04 \pm 0.20$.

Much theoretical work done already ...

Much work done on the ZH production at LHC: $\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \rightarrow Z(ll') + H(b\bar{b})$

•
$$q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZH$$
: Starting from $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_e \alpha_s^o)$

- The Higgs-bremsstrahlung (Drell-Yan) part up to NNLO in massless QCD [Brein, Harlander, Wiesemann, Zirke, 2012; Ferrera, Grazzini, Tramontano, 2015/2018; Campbell, Ellis, Williams, 2016]
- The top-loop induced NNLO (non-Drell-Yan type) QCD corrections in the heavy-top limit [Brein,Djouadi,Harlander, 2004; Brein,Harlander,Wiesemann,Zirke, 2012]
- N³LO corrections in massless QCD [Ahmed,Mahakhud,Rana,Ravindran, 2014; Li,von Manteuffel, Schabinger,Zhu, 2014; Catani,Cieri,Florian,Ferrera,Grazzini, 2014; Kumar,Mandal,Ravindran, 2015]
- NLO electroweak corrections [Ciccolini, Dittmaier, Kramer, 2003; Denner, Dittmaier, Kallweit, Mueck, 2011]
- ▶



- ▶ The exact LO (with full m_t dependence) [Kniehl, 1990]
- NLO QCD corrections to the Higgs-bremsstrahlung (Drell-Yan) part in the heavy-top limit [Brein, Harlander, Wiesemann, Zirke, 2012; Altenkamp, Dittmaier, Harlander, Rzehak, Zirke, 2013]
- • • • •

Focus of the talk

Here we focus on a part of the *b*-quark-induced ZH process that involves a non-vanishing Yukawa coupling λ_b (i.e. (B) and (C)) but with $m_b = o$.



Aims of the work:

- Computing the 2-loop (massless) QCD corrections in analytic form
- Addressing a subtlety appearing in the conventional FF decomposition of amplitudes involving axial currents regularised in D dimensions (with a non-anticommuting γ₅)
- Verifying the unitarity of a particular regularisation prescription implied by projectors prescribed recently [Chen, 2019]]
- The "same" loop amplitudes built up from just vector FFs of properly grouped classes of diagrams (bypassing completely the need of explicitly manipulating γ₅)

Kinematics and the γ_5 prescription

We consider

$$b(p_1) + \overline{b}(p_2) \to Z(q_1) + H(q_2)$$

in $n_f = 5$ massless QCD.

The Mandelstam variables:

$$s \equiv (p_1 + p_2)^2$$
, $t \equiv (p_1 - q_1)^2$ and $u \equiv (p_2 - q_1)^2$

satisfying $s + t + u = q_1^2 + q_2^2 = m_z^2 + m_h^2$.

Regarding the definition of γ_5 in dimensional regularisation (HV/BM and Larin):

$$\begin{split} \gamma_5 &= \frac{i}{4!} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\sigma} \\ \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 &\to \frac{1}{2} \Big(\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 - \gamma_5 \gamma_{\mu} \Big) = \frac{i}{6} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\sigma} \,. \end{split}$$

Form Factor Decomposition: the vector part

By Lorentz covariance, the amplitude $b\bar{b}ZH$ can be expressed as a linear combination of a finite basis of Lorentz structures at any finite order.

For the vector part :

$$\begin{split} \bar{v}(p_2) \, \Gamma^{\mu}_{vec} \, u(p_1) &= F_{1,vec} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, u(p_1) \, p_1^{\mu} \, + \, F_{2,vec} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, u(p_1) \, p_2^{\mu} \\ &+ \, F_{3,vec} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, u(p_1) \, q_1^{\mu} \, + \, F_{4,vec} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, \gamma^{\mu} \, q_1 \, u(p_1) \, , \end{split}$$

under the following constraints:

- Even power γ -matrices (one Yukawa vertex on the massless fermion line);
- Parity even for the vector coupling;
- Equations of motion for the on-shell massless spinors: $p_1 u(p_1) = o$, $p_2 v(p_2) = o$.

Form Factor Decomposition: Gram matrix and Projectors

For a scattering amplitude $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ at a fixed perturbative order,

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} F_n \hat{T}_n$$
 ,

compute the Gram matrix \hat{G}

$$\mathbf{G}_{ij} = \langle \hat{T}_i^\dagger, \hat{T}_j
angle$$
 ,

whose inverse gives us the formula for the projector

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}_n = \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{nj}^{-1} \hat{T}_j^{\dagger}$$

to project out the Lorentz-invariant coefficient (form-factor) F_n .

Projectors for vector form factors

The projectors for vector form factors are still compact enough to be documented explicitly:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{1,vec}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_1) \Big\{ (-2+D)t^2 p_1^{\mu} + \Big(2(-3+D)m_2^2 s - (-2+D)tu \Big) p_2^{\mu} + (2-D)stq_1^{\mu} \\ &\quad + stq_1 \gamma^{\mu} \Big\} v(p_2) \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathcal{K}_{vec}} , \\ \mathbb{P}_{2,vec}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_1) \Big\{ \Big(2(-3+D)m_2^2 s - (-2+D)tu \Big) p_1^{\mu} + (-2+D)u^2 p_2^{\mu} + (4-D)suq_1^{\mu} \\ &\quad - suq_1 \gamma^{\mu} \Big\} v(p_2) \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathcal{K}_{vec}} , \\ \mathbb{P}_{3,vec}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_1) \Big\{ - (-2+D)stp_1^{\mu} - (-4+D)sup_2^{\mu} - (2-D)s^2 q_1^{\mu} - s^2 q_1 \gamma^{\mu} \Big\} v(p_2) \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathcal{K}_{vec}} , \\ \mathbb{P}_{4,vec}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_1) \Big\{ stp_1^{\mu} - sup_2^{\mu} - s^2 q_1^{\mu} + s^2 q_1 \gamma^{\mu} \Big\} v(p_2) \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathcal{K}_{vec}} , \end{split}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{vec} = \mathbf{2}(-3+D)s(m_z^2s-tu)\,.$$

Form Factor Decomposition: issues with the axial part

A set of Lorentz structure basis for the axial part of $b\bar{b} \rightarrow ZH$ linearly complete in 4 dimensions, but **not** in D dimensions (unless a *fully anticommutating* γ_5 is used):

$$\left\{\bar{v}(p_2)\,\gamma_5\,u(p_1)\,p_1^{\mu}\,,\,\bar{v}(p_2)\,\gamma_5\,u(p_1)\,p_2^{\mu}\,,\,\bar{v}(p_2)\,\gamma_5\,u(p_1)\,q_1^{\mu}\,,\,\bar{v}(p_2)\,\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\,q_1u(p_1)\right\}.$$

Whether M_{axi} lives in a space linearly spanned by these 4 structures in D dimensions:

- Enlarge this list by appending the (tree-level) \mathcal{M}_{axi} ;
- and then compute the Gram matrix of this enlarged list of 5 elements;
- one finds out that the matrix rank is increased to 5 rather than staying at 4!

Form Factor Decomposition: issues with the axial part

A set of Lorentz structure basis for the axial part of $b\bar{b} \rightarrow ZH$ linearly complete in 4 dimensions, but **not** in D dimensions (unless a *fully anticommutating* γ_5 is used):

$$\left\{\bar{v}(p_2)\,\gamma_5\,u(p_1)\,p_1^{\mu}\,,\,\bar{v}(p_2)\,\gamma_5\,u(p_1)\,p_2^{\mu}\,,\,\bar{v}(p_2)\,\gamma_5\,u(p_1)\,q_1^{\mu}\,,\,\bar{v}(p_2)\,\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\,q_1u(p_1)\right\}.$$

Therefore, if a non-anticommuting γ_5 is used in the *dimensional regularisation*, we may face the following issues regarding the axial FF-decomposition :

- It is not easy to construct the full D-dimensional linearly complete basis for the FF-decomposition of $\bar{v}(p_2) \Gamma^{\mu}_{avi} u(p_1)$ at loop orders.
- Even with just an incomplete basis, keeping the full D-dependence could lead to expressions with too complicated D-dependence to use.
- Setting D=4 could simplify the expressions a bit, which, however, is not known to be legitimate in general (for FF-decomposition).

Projectors for axial form factors

٢

The projectors for 4 axial FFs (corresponding to the basis of 4 structures) read as:

$$\begin{split} & p_{1,\text{res}i}^{p} = -\tilde{u}(p_{1})e_{\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma}v(p_{2})\bigg\{ (-1+D)^{2}(48-14D+D^{2})(m_{1}^{2}-u)^{2}p_{1}^{u} \\ & + \bigg((176+22D-69D^{2}+16D^{3}-D^{3})m_{1}^{4} \\ & + 2(-148-54D+73D^{2}-16D^{3}+D^{3})m_{1}^{4} \\ & + 2(-148-54D-69D^{2}+16D^{3}-D^{3})m_{1}^{2} \\ & - (176+22D-69D^{2}+16D^{3}-D^{3})m_{1}^{2}(t+u)\bigg)p_{1}^{u} \\ & - ((176+22D-69D^{2}+16D^{3}-D^{3})m_{1}^{2}(t+u)\bigg)p_{1}^{u} \\ & - (-1+D)^{2}(48-14D+D^{2})s(m_{1}^{2}-u)q_{1}^{u}\bigg\} \frac{12}{(8-9D+D^{2})s^{3}K_{xxi}} \\ & + \tilde{u}(p_{1})g(e^{\gamma\gamma\gamma\mu}v(p_{2})\bigg\{ (-56+71D-16D^{2}+D^{3})s(m_{1}^{2}-u)\bigg\} \frac{12}{(8-9D+D^{2})s^{3}K_{xxi}} \\ & - 2(-148-54D+73D^{2}-16D^{3}+D^{4})m_{1}^{u} \\ & - -2(-148-54D+73D^{2}-16D^{3}+D^{4})m_{2}^{u}s \\ & + (-176-22D+69D^{2}-16D^{3}+D^{4})m_{2}^{u}s \\ & + (-176-22D+69D^{2}-16D^{3}+D^{4})m_{2}^{u} \\ & - (m_{1}^{2}-t)^{2}(-144-62D+77D^{2}-16D^{3}+D^{4})m_{1}^{u} \\ & - (m_{2}^{2}-t)^{2}(-144-62D+77D^{2}+16D^{3}-D^{4})g_{1}^{u}\bigg\} \frac{12}{(8-9D+D^{2})s^{3}K_{xxi}} \\ & + \tilde{u}(p_{1})g(e^{\gamma\gamma\gamma\mu}v(p_{2})\bigg\{ (-1+D)(m_{2}^{2}-t)\bigg\} \frac{12}{sK_{xxi}} , \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{j,\text{sell}}^{\mu} &= -a(p_1)e_{\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma}v(p_2) \left\{ -(-1+D)^2 \left(48-14D+D^2 \right) (m_t^2-u) p_1^{\mu} \right. \\ & -(-120-86D+77D^2-16D^3+D^4) (m_t^2-1) p_2^{\mu} \\ & +(-1+D)^2 \left(48-14D+D^2 \right) \mathrm{sg}_t^2 \right\} \frac{12}{(8-9D+D^2) \mathrm{s} K_{exi}} \\ & -a(p_1) \mathrm{sg}_t e^{\gamma\gamma\gamma\mu}v(p_2) \left\{ (-56+71D-16D^2+D^3) \mathrm{s} \right\} \frac{12}{(8-9D+D^2) \mathrm{s} K_{exi}} \\ & -a(p_1) \mathrm{sg}_t e^{\gamma\gamma\gamma\mu}v(p_2) \left\{ (-(7+D)) (m_2^2-u) p_1^{\mu} \\ & -(-1+D) (m_2^2-1) p_2^{\mu} + (-7+D) \mathrm{sg}_1^{\mu} \right\} \frac{12}{eK_{exi}} \\ & -a(p_1) \mathrm{sg}_t e^{\gamma\gamma\gamma\mu}v(p_2) (8-9D+D^2) \frac{3}{K_{exi}} , \\ & K_{exi} = (-888+416D+560D^2-515D^3+159D^4-21D^5+D^6) \\ & (m_t^2+tu-m_t^2(\mathrm{s}+t+u)), \\ & e^{\gamma\gamma\gamma\mu} \equiv -\frac{1}{6} e^{\mathrm{sg}\mu\mu} \gamma_{\gamma} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} . \end{split}$$

An *acrobatic* form factor decomposition for the axial part

Due to the aforementioned theoretical issues, we *define* our "axial form factors" as

$$\begin{split} F_{1,axi} &\equiv \mathbb{P}_{1,axi}^{[4],\,\mu} \,\bar{v}(p_2) \,\Gamma_{axi}^{\nu} \,u(p_1) \,g_{\mu\nu} \\ F_{2,axi} &\equiv \mathbb{P}_{2,axi}^{[4],\,\mu} \,\bar{v}(p_2) \,\Gamma_{axi}^{\nu} \,u(p_1) \,g_{\mu\nu} \\ F_{3,axi} &\equiv \mathbb{P}_{3,axi}^{[4],\,\mu} \,\bar{v}(p_2) \,\Gamma_{axi}^{\nu} \,u(p_1) \,g_{\mu\nu} \\ F_{4,axi} &\equiv \mathbb{P}_{4,axi}^{[4],\,\mu} \,\bar{v}(p_2) \,\Gamma_{axi}^{\nu} \,u(p_1) \,g_{\mu\nu} \end{split}$$

where the [4] in the superscript denotes the setting D = 4 in the original $\mathbb{P}_{i,axi}^{\mu}$.

Subsequently, we build up an intermediate axial amplitude $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mu}_{axi}$ defined as

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\mu}_{axi} &\equiv F_{1,axi} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, \gamma_5 \, u(p_1) \, p_1^{\mu} \, + \, F_{2,axi} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, \gamma_5 \, u(p_1) \, p_2^{\mu} \\ &+ \, F_{3,axi} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, \gamma_5 \, u(p_1) \, q_1^{\mu} \, + \, F_{4,axi} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, \gamma^{\mu} \, \gamma_5 \, q_1 \, u(p_1) \, . \end{split}$$

which is not *algebraically* identical to the original Feynman amplitude.

Projectors for linearly polarised amplitudes

Projecting D-dimensional amplitudes directly onto a *linear polarisation basis* [LC,

19 (arXiv: 1904.00705)]



Momentum basis representations of elementary linear polarisation vectors:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_X^{\mu} &= c_1^X \, p_1^{\mu} + c_2^X \, p_2^{\mu} + c_3^X \, p_3^{\mu} \, , \\ \varepsilon_T^{\mu} &= c_1^T \, p_1^{\mu} + c_2^T \, p_2^{\mu} + c_3^T \, p_3^{\mu} \, , \\ \varepsilon_Y^{\mu} &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}_Y \, \epsilon^{\nu \rho \sigma \mu} p_{1\nu} p_{2\rho} p_{3\sigma} & \text{if } N \leq 4 \\ c_1^Y \, p_1^{\mu} + c_2^Y \, p_2^{\mu} + c_3^Y \, p_3^{\mu} \, + c_4^Y \, p_4^{\mu} & \text{if } N > 5 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Projectors for linearly polarised amplitudes

• For $b\bar{b}ZH$, we need only

 $\bar{u}(p_1) \mathbf{N}_i v(p_2) \varepsilon_i^{\mu}$, for i = s, p and j = T, Y, L

where all open Lorentz indices are *D*-dimensional by definition and all pairs of $e^{\nu\rho\sigma\mu}$ should be contracted first (in one definite ordering).

- Upon pulling out the overall normalization factors, all projectors so constructed have only *polynomial* dependence in kinematics, and it is always $g_{\mu\nu}$ used in index contraction.
- Resulting (bare) amplitudes are different from those defined in CDR, HV, FDH, DRED, ..., albeit *unitarity* is still preserved.
- The usual helicity amplitudes can be constructed optionally, as circular polarisation states from the linear ones, e.g.

$$\varepsilon^{\mu}_{\pm}(p_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\varepsilon^{\mu}_X \pm i \varepsilon^{\mu}_Y \right).$$

Projectors for linearly polarised amplitudes

Up to overall normalization factors, our "linear polarisation" projectors for bbZH read:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}_{1}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_{1}) \, v(p_{2}) \left(- \left(2m_{z}^{4} + u(t+u) - m_{z}^{2}(2s+t+3u) \right) \, p_{1}^{\mu} \right. \\ &+ \left(2m_{z}^{4} + t(t+u) - m_{z}^{2}(2s+3t+u) \right) \, p_{2}^{\mu} + s(t-u) \, q_{1}^{\mu} \right), \\ \mathcal{P}_{2}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_{1}) \, v(p_{2}) \left(- \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{1\nu} p_{2\rho} q_{1\sigma} \right), \\ \mathcal{P}_{3}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_{1}) \, v(p_{2}) \left((2m_{z}^{2} - t-u) \, q_{1}^{\mu} - 2m_{z}^{2}(p_{1}^{\mu} + p_{2}^{\mu}) \right), \\ \mathcal{P}_{4}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_{1}) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma} v(p_{2}) \left(- \left(2m_{z}^{4} + u(t+u) - m_{z}^{2}(2s+t+3u) \right) \, p_{1}^{\mu} \right. \\ &+ \left(2m_{z}^{4} + t(t+u) - m_{z}^{2}(2s+3t+u) \right) \, p_{2}^{\mu} + s(t-u) \, q_{1}^{\mu} \right), \\ \mathcal{P}_{5}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_{1}) \frac{i}{8} \left(\left(-2m_{z}^{2} + t+u \right) \left(p_{2}\gamma_{\mu} + \gamma_{\mu} p_{1} \right) + 2s \left(\gamma_{\mu} q_{1} - q_{1}\gamma_{\mu} \right) \right. \\ &+ 2(u-t) \left(p_{1\mu} + p_{2\mu} \right) \right) v(p_{2}), \\ \mathcal{P}_{6}^{\mu} &= \bar{u}(p_{1}) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma} v(p_{2}) \left(\left(2m_{z}^{2} - t-u \right) q_{1}^{\mu} - 2m_{z}^{2}(p_{1}^{\mu} + p_{2}^{\mu} \right) \right). \end{split}$$

The tool chain employed for computing (projected) amplitudes

• Unreduced (projected) amplitudes: **QGRAF** [P. Nogueir, 1993] + FORM [J.

Vermaseren, 2000]

- IBP-tables: Kira [P. Maierhofer, J. Usovitsch, P. Uwer; 17/18]
 - ► 8 one-loop masters known to O(e²)
 - 134 two-loop masters known to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{o})$.

All these masters are available in *HepForge* in computer readable format [J. Henn, K. Melnikov, V. A. Smirnov, 2014; T. Gehrmann, A. von Manteuffel, L. Tancre, 2015]

 Simplifying rational coefficients of masters: mathematica + fermat [R. Lewis; 2009]

Samples of loop diagrams

• 1-loop:



• 2-loop flavor-nonsinglet (non-anomalous):



• 2-loop flavor-singlet (anomalous):



UV renormalisation

- Vector part: the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme.
 - The QCD coupling:

$$\hat{a}_s S_{\epsilon} = a_s(\mu_R^2) Z_{a_s}(\mu_R^2) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\mu_R^2}\right)^{-\epsilon}$$

The Yukawa coupling:

$$\hat{\lambda}_b S_{\epsilon} = \lambda_b(\mu_R^2) Z_{\lambda}(\mu_R^2) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\mu_R^2}\right)^{-\epsilon}$$

• Axial part: additional axial-current ren. $J_{\mu,A}^{ns(s)}(x) = Z_{5,A}^{ns(s)} Z_A^{ns} \hat{J}_{\mu,A}^{ns(s)}(x)$ [M. Chanowitz, M. Furman, I. Hinchliffe, 1979; T. Trueman, 1979].

Flavor-Nonsinglet (non-anomalous) [S. Larin, J. Vermaseren, 1991]:

$$\begin{split} Z_A^{ns} &= \mathbf{1} + a_s^2 (\mu_R^2) \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{22}{3} C_F C_A - \frac{4}{3} C_F n_f \right) \,, \\ Z_{5,A}^{ns} &= \mathbf{1} + a_s (\mu_R^2) \left(-4 C_F \right) + a_s^2 (\mu_R^2) \left(22 C_F^2 - \frac{107}{9} C_F C_A + \frac{2}{9} C_F n_f \right) \,. \end{split}$$

Flavor-Singlet (anomalous) [S. Larin, 1993]:

$$\begin{split} Z^{s}_{A} &= \mathbf{1} + a^{2}_{s}(\mu^{2}_{R})\frac{3}{\epsilon}C_{F}, \\ Z^{s}_{5,A} &= \mathbf{1} + a^{2}_{s}(\mu^{2}_{R})\frac{3}{2}C_{F}. \end{split}$$

HU Berlin 18 / 28

UV renormalisation

- Vector part: the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme.
 - The QCD coupling:

$$\hat{a}_s S_{\epsilon} = a_s(\mu_R^2) Z_{a_s}(\mu_R^2) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\mu_R^2}\right)^{-\epsilon}$$

The Yukawa coupling:

$$\hat{\lambda}_b S_{\epsilon} = \lambda_b(\mu_R^2) Z_{\lambda}(\mu_R^2) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\mu_R^2}\right)^{-\epsilon}$$

• Axial part: additional axial-current ren. $J_{\mu,A}^{ns(s)}(x) = Z_{5,A}^{ns(s)} Z_A^{ns} \hat{J}_{\mu,A}^{ns(s)}(x)$ [M. Chanowitz, M. Furman, I. Hinchliffe, 1979; T. Trueman, 1979].

UV renormalised amplitudes:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}^{[j]} &= \mathcal{M}^{[j]}_{vec}(a_{s}(\mu_{R}^{2})) + \mathcal{M}^{[j]}_{axi}(a_{s}(\mu_{R}^{2})) \\ &= \mathcal{M}^{[j]}_{vec}(a_{s}(\mu_{R}^{2})) + \mathcal{M}^{[j],ns}_{axi}(a_{s}(\mu_{R}^{2})) + \mathcal{M}^{[j],s}_{axi}(a_{s}(\mu_{R}^{2})) \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{[j]}_{vec}(\hat{a}_{s},\mu^{2}) + Z^{s}_{5}(a_{s}(\mu_{R}^{2}))Z^{ns}_{A}(a_{s}(\mu_{R}^{2})) \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{[j],ns}_{axi}(\hat{a}_{s},\mu^{2}) \\ &+ Z^{s}_{5}(a_{s}(\mu_{R}^{2}))Z^{s}_{A}(a_{s}(\mu_{R}^{2})) \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{[j],s}_{axi}(\hat{a}_{s},\mu^{2}) , \end{split}$$

where [j] runs over all six polarisation configurations.

IR factorisation formulae

The IR pole structures in the UV renormalised bbZH amplitudes can be exhibited through a factorisation formula in terms of "universal" $I^{(i)}(\epsilon)$ [S. Catani, 1998]

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}^{[j],(1)} &= 2\mathbf{I}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})\mathcal{M}^{[j],(0)} + \mathcal{M}^{[j],(1)}_{\text{fin}}, \\ \mathcal{M}^{[j],(2)} &= 4\mathbf{I}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})\mathcal{M}^{[j],(0)} + 2\mathbf{I}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})\mathcal{M}^{[j],(1)} + \mathcal{M}^{[j],(2)}_{\text{fin}}. \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}^{[j],(1)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}^{[j],(2)}$ (in 4 dimensions) are defined as the *finite remainders*.

The explicit expressions of the $I^{(i)}(\epsilon)$ (needed for bbZH) are given (in CDR) by [S. Catani, 1998; T. Becher, M. Neubert, 2009]

$$\mathbf{I}^{(1)}(\epsilon) = -C_F \frac{e^{\epsilon \gamma_E}}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{3}{2\epsilon}\right) \left(-\frac{\mu_R^2}{s}\right)^{\epsilon},$$

$$\mathbf{I}^{(2)}(\epsilon) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}^{(1)}(\epsilon) \left(\mathbf{I}^{(1)}(\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\beta_0\right) + \frac{e^{-\epsilon \gamma_E}\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\beta_0 + K\right) \mathbf{I}^{(1)}(2\epsilon) + 2H^{(2)}(\epsilon)$$

IR poles contained in our renormalised amplitudes match with those predicted by these formulae.

The same RS-independent finite remainders

The linear transformation connecting $F_{i,vec}$ to $\mathcal{P}_i^{\mu} \bar{v}(p_2) \Gamma_{vec,\mu} u(p_1)$ reads as

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}_{i}^{\mu} \, \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, \Gamma_{vec,\mu} \, u(p_{1}) &= F_{1,vec} \left(\mathcal{P}_{i}^{\mu} \, \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, u(p_{1}) \, p_{1,\mu} \right) \, + \, F_{2,vec} \left(\mathcal{P}_{i}^{\mu} \, \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, u(p_{1}) \, p_{2,\mu} \right) \\ &+ \, F_{3,vec} \left(\mathcal{P}_{i}^{\mu} \, \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, u(p_{1}) \, q_{1,\mu} \right) \, + \, F_{4,vec} \left(\mathcal{P}_{i}^{\mu} \, \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, \gamma_{\mu} \, q_{1} u(p_{1}) \right), \end{split}$$

and similarly for the axial part,

$$\begin{split} \left[\mathcal{P}_{i}^{\mu} \, \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, \Gamma_{axi,\mu} \, u(p_{1}) \right]_{\text{fin}} &= F_{1,axi,\text{fin}} \left[\mathcal{P}_{i}^{\mu} \, \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, \gamma_{5} \, u(p_{1}) \, p_{1,\mu} \right] \, + \, F_{2,axi,\text{fin}} \left[\mathcal{P}_{i}^{\mu} \, \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, \gamma_{5} \, u(p_{1}) \, p_{2,\mu} \right] \\ &+ \, F_{3,axi,\text{fin}} \left[\mathcal{P}_{i}^{\mu} \, \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, \gamma_{5} \, u(p_{1}) \, q_{1,\mu} \right] \, + \, F_{4,axi,\text{fin}} \left[\mathcal{P}_{i}^{\mu} \, \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \, \boldsymbol{g}_{1} \, u(p_{1}) \right], \end{split}$$

where *i* runs from 1 to 6 linear polarisation configurations.

Through the verified equality between the *finite remainders* computed following different approaches,

we confirm:

projectors derived in "four" dimensions can be used also in calculations in D dimensions and lead to correct results (for physical observables), irrespective of whether the quantity projected out is a form factor or a linearly polarised amplitude.

(even though the resulting amplitudes may not be regularised strictly in the HV scheme.)

Axial Form factors restored from Vector Form Factors

With $m_b = o$, all 2-loop diagrams with the Higgs (and Z) radiated from a closed fermion loop vanish, e.g.



due to odd number of Dirac γ matrices.

Consequently, all non-vanishing *non-anomalous* Feynman diagrams can be divided into the class-HZ and class-ZH



Axial Form factors restored from Vector Form Factors

Turning off completely the axial coupling of the Z boson,

$$\mathcal{M}_{vec} = \bar{v}(p_2) \, \Gamma^{\mu}_{ZH} \, u(p_1) \, \varepsilon^*_{\mu}(q_1) \, + \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, \Gamma^{\mu}_{HZ} \, u(p_1) \, \varepsilon^*_{\mu}(q_1)$$



$$\begin{split} \bar{v}(p_2) \, \Gamma^{\mu}_{ZH} \, u(p_1) &= F_{1,ZH} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, u(p_1) \, p_1^{\mu} + F_{2,ZH} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, u(p_1) \, p_2^{\mu} \\ &+ F_{3,ZH} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, u(p_1) \, q_1^{\mu} + F_{4,ZH} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, \gamma^{\mu} \, g_1 \, u(p_1) \, , \\ \bar{v}(p_2) \, \Gamma^{\mu}_{HZ} \, u(p_1) &= F_{1,HZ} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, u(p_1) \, p_1^{\mu} + F_{2,HZ} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, u(p_1) \, p_2^{\mu} \\ &+ F_{3,HZ} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, u(p_1) \, q_1^{\mu} + F_{4,HZ} \, \bar{v}(p_2) \, \gamma^{\mu} \, g_1 \, u(p_1) \, . \end{split}$$

Axial (and vector) Form factors can be restored as:

$$F_{i,vec} = F_{i,HZ} + F_{i,ZH},$$

$$F_{i,axi(ns)} = F_{i,HZ} - F_{i,ZH}.$$

The Ward identity for bbZH: the non-anomalous part

Starting from the classical Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{c}=\ -rac{1}{4}G^{a}_{\mu
u}G^{a\mu
u}+\overline{b}i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}b-J^{\mu}_{Z}Z_{\mu}-\lambda_{b}\overline{b}bH\,,$$

one obtains the Ward identity for the non-anomalous diagrams:



whose RHS can be perturbatively expanded as



Checking the Ward identity for bbZH using $F_{i,ZH}$ and $F_{i,HZ}$



The LHS of the Ward identity can be composed in terms of "split" vector form factors:

$$\begin{split} q_{1} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\text{vec}} &= \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, u(p_{1}) \left(\left(F_{1,HZ} + F_{1,ZH} \right) \frac{m_{z}^{2} - t}{2} + \left(F_{2,HZ} + F_{2,ZH} \right) \frac{m_{z}^{2} - u}{2} \right. \\ &+ \left(F_{3,HZ} + F_{3,ZH} \right) m_{z}^{2} + \left(F_{4,HZ} + F_{4,ZH} \right) m_{z}^{2} \right), \\ q_{1} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{axi} &= \bar{v}(p_{2}) \, \gamma_{5} \, u(p_{1}) \left(\left(F_{1,HZ} - F_{1,ZH} \right) \frac{m_{z}^{2} - t}{2} + \left(F_{2,HZ} - F_{2,ZH} \right) \frac{m_{z}^{2} - u}{2} \right. \\ &+ \left(F_{3,HZ} - F_{3,ZH} \right) m_{z}^{2} + \left(F_{4,HZ} - F_{4,ZH} \right) m_{z}^{2} \right). \end{split}$$

The Ward identity for bbZH: the anomalous part

The Adler-Bell-Jackiw (ABJ) anomaly equation:

$$\left(\partial^{\mu}J^{s}_{\mu,A}\right)_{R}=a_{s}\frac{1}{2}\left(G\tilde{G}\right)_{R}$$
 ,

where $G\tilde{G} \equiv \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\rho\sigma}$ with the gluonic field strength tensor $G^a_{\mu\nu}$.

At the level of matrix elements (regarding the anomalous part of $b\bar{b} \rightarrow ZH$),

$$\bar{v}(p_2) \Gamma^{\mu}_{\mathsf{ABJ}} u(p_1) q_{1,\mu} = g_{A,q} \frac{a_s}{2} \langle H(q_2) | \left[G \tilde{G}(\mathbf{o}) \right]_R \left| q(p_1) \overline{q}(p_2) \rangle ,$$

(with the kinematics $p_1 + p_2 - q_2 = q_1$).

Diagrammatically, the RHS consists of



The numerical results of the total cross section of *ZH* production at LHC@13TeV (in unit pb):

Order	s-channel	EW	σ^{ZH}_{gg}	$\sigma^{ZH}_{q\bar{q}}$ (top)	(t+u)-channel
LO	5.897 10 ⁻¹	-3.111 10 ⁻²	-	-	2.989 10 ⁻⁴
NLO	7.756 10 ⁻¹	-	-	-	2.934 10 ⁻⁴
NNLO	8.015 10 ⁻¹	-	5.051 10 ⁻²	9.442 10 ⁻³	3.027 10 ⁻⁴
N ³ LO _{SV}	8.013 10-1	-	-	-	-

Setting: $\mu_R = \mu_F = m_h + m_z$, $G_F = 1.16637 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$, $\overline{m}_b(\mu_R = m_b) = 4.18 \text{ GeV}$.

- The s-channel contributions (Higgs-bremsstrahlung) are obtained using vh@nnlo [Brein,Harlander,Zirke, 2012].
- The σ_{gg}^{ZH} refers to the contribution coming from the gluon initiated sub-processes.
- The top quark loop contribution is denoted by $\sigma_{q\bar{q}}^{ZH}$ (top).
- The (t + u)-channel contribution at NLO is obtained using Madgraph [Alwall,Frederix,Frixione,Hirschi,Maltoni,Mattelaer et al.,2014], and at NNLO is under the Soft-Virtual approximation [Ravindran,2005].

Summary

- \square Computed the 2-loop QCD corrections to $b\bar{b} \rightarrow ZH$ amplitude via directly projecting onto a linear polarisation basis, with the analytic results expressed in terms of multiple polylogarithms;
- Addressed an interesting subtlety appearing in the conventional form-factor(FF) decomposition of amplitudes involving axial currents regularised in D dimensions;
- Z Revealed a relation between axial and vector FFs of the non-Drell-Yan $b\bar{b} \rightarrow ZH$, which enables us to restore axial FFs from "split" vector ones;
- \square Derived the Ward identities for $b\bar{b} \rightarrow ZH$ in the presence of λ_b , which are checked using the axial FFs restored from their vector counterparts;
- ☑ Computed the *SV* cross section at NNLO, in order to make a quantitative analysis of the contribution from these non-Drell-type processes.

Summary

- \square Computed the 2-loop QCD corrections to $b\bar{b} \rightarrow ZH$ amplitude via directly projecting onto a linear polarisation basis, with the analytic results expressed in terms of multiple polylogarithms;
- Addressed an interesting subtlety appearing in the conventional form-factor(FF) decomposition of amplitudes involving axial currents regularised in D dimensions;
- Z Revealed a relation between axial and vector FFs of the non-Drell-Yan $b\bar{b} \rightarrow ZH$, which enables us to restore axial FFs from "split" vector ones;
- \square Derived the Ward identities for $b\bar{b} \rightarrow ZH$ in the presence of λ_b , which are checked using the axial FFs restored from their vector counterparts;
- ☑ Computed the *SV* cross section at NNLO, in order to make a quantitative analysis of the contribution from these non-Drell-type processes.

THANK YOU