The Top Quark Mass at Future Lepton Colliders:

Measurements using Radiative Events with a Matched Top Quark Pair Production Cross Section

Angelika Widl (University of Vienna),

Bahman Dehnadi, André Hoang, Vicent Mateu, Maximilian Stahlhofen, Marça Boronat, Esteban Fullana, Juan Fuster, Pablo Gomis, Marcel Vos

DESY Zeuthen Theory Seminar 07/11/2019

Outline

- Introduction the Top Quark Mass
- Top Quark Mass Determination at Lepton Colliders
 - Overview
 - Threshold Scan
 - Radiative Events [Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW]

• Top Quark Pair Production Cross Section from Threshold to Continuum

- Threshold Region
- Continuum Region
- Mass Schemes at and above Threshold
- Matching at NNLL_{threshold} + NNNLO_{continuum} [Dehnadi, Hoang, Mateu, Stahlhofen, AW]

Measurement of the MSR Mass Running

[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW]

• Conclusions

Introduction

Why measure the Top Quark Mass?

- input parameter of the Standard Model
- input for global electroweak fits
- determination of electroweak vacuum stability





How to Measure the Top Quark Mass?



Future Lepton Colliders [Abramowicz et al. 2019]

- direct reconstruction: ± (50 100 MeV) (Monte Carlo mass)
- cross-section measurement: ± (< 75 MeV) (short-distance mass) (threshold)
- cross-section measurement: ± (105 150 MeV) (short-distance mass) (radiative events)



[ATLAS collaboration 2016, arXiv 1406.5375]

Top Quark Mass Determination at Lepton Colliders

Overview

Lepton Colliders

 CLIC (CERN)
 350, 380, 1500, 3000 GeV
 [CLIC collaboration 2016]

 ILC (Japan)
 250, 350, 500 GeV (+ 91.2, 1000 GeV)
 [LCC Sept. 2019]

 FCC-ee (CERN)
 91.2, 161, 240, 350, 365 GeV
 [FCC collaboration 2019]

 CEPC (China)
 91.2, 161, 240 GeV
 [CEPC study group 2018]



Overview

top quark mass measurements (main methods)

- threshold scan 350 GeV
- radiative events
- direct reconstruction 380, 500 GeV invariant mass
- $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow t \bar{t})$ < 75 MeV precision [Simon 2019]
- 380, 500 GeV $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}\gamma)$ ~ 105 150 MeV precision [Boronat et al. 2019 in preparation]
 - ~ 50 100 MeV precision [Abramowicz et al. 2019], [Seidel et al. 2013]



Threshold Scan

- top mass: precision < 75 MeV [Simon 2019] $({\sf now:} \ m_t^{\rm MC} = 172.9 \pm 0.4 \ {\rm GeV} \ \ {\tt [PDG]} \ {\tt)}$
- top width: precision < 100 MeV [Simon 2019]

(now: $\Gamma_t = 1.42^{+0.19}_{-0.15}~{
m GeV}$ [PDG])

• threshold also sensitive to top Yukawa coupling, strong coupling constant

uncertainties for top quark mass determination		
QCD scale variation	~ 40 MeV	
parametric	~ 30 MeV (for $\Delta lpha_s = 0.001$)	
statistical	~ 20 MeV	
systematic (experimental)	~ 25 - 50 MeV	

[Abramowicz et al. 2019]





[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

invariant mass of top quark pair:

$$(q')^2 = s' = s\left(1 - \frac{2E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}\right)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{t\bar{t}\gamma}}{d\sqrt{s'}} = f(E_{\gamma})\,\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(s')$$





- $\rightarrow E_{\gamma}$ can be measured with high precision
- \rightarrow measurements of different E_{γ} give a scan over the pair production cross section
- → radiative return to threshold gives high mass sensitivity

[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

invariant mass of top quark pair:

$$(q')^2 = s' = s\left(1 - \frac{2E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}\right)$$



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{t\bar{t}\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta\,\mathrm{d}\sqrt{s'}} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}}{\pi\,\sqrt{s}}\,g(x,\theta)\,\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(s') + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^2)\,, \quad g(x,\theta) = \frac{2\sqrt{(1-2x)}}{x\sin^2\theta} \left[1 - 2x + (1+\cos^2\theta)x^2\right], \quad x = \frac{E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}$$



- large photon energy $E_{\gamma} > 5 \text{ GeV}$
- θ integrated from 8° to 172°
- highest mass sensitivity for collinear top quarks $\circ \quad s' \sim 4\,m_t^2$
 - radiative return to threshold

[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

invariant mass of top quark pair:

$$(q')^2 = s' = s\left(1 - \frac{2E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}\right)$$



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{t\bar{t}\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta\,\mathrm{d}\sqrt{s'}} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}}{\pi\,\sqrt{s}}\,g(x,\theta)\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(s') + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^2)\,, \quad g(x,\theta) = \frac{2\sqrt{(1-2x)}}{x\sin^2\theta} \left[1 - 2x + (1+\cos^2\theta)x^2\right], \quad x = \frac{E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}$$





[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

invariant mass of top quark pair:

$$(q')^2 = s' = s\left(1 - \frac{2E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}\right)$$



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{t\bar{t}\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta\,\mathrm{d}\sqrt{s'}} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}}{\pi\,\sqrt{s}}\,g(x,\theta)\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(s') + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^2)\,, \quad g(x,\theta) = \frac{2\sqrt{(1-2x)}}{x\sin^2\theta} \left[1 - 2x + (1+\cos^2\theta)x^2\right], \quad x = \frac{E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}}$$



cms energy	CLIC, \sqrt{s}	$= 380 \mathrm{GeV}$	ILC, \sqrt{s} :	$= 500 \mathrm{GeV}$
luminosity $[fb^{-1}]$	500	1000	500	4000
statistical	$140\mathrm{MeV}$	$90{ m MeV}$	$350\mathrm{MeV}$	$110\mathrm{MeV}$
theory	$46\mathrm{MeV}$		$55\mathrm{MeV}$	
lum. spectrum	$20{ m MeV}$		$20{ m MeV}$	
photon response	$16{ m MeV}$		$85\mathrm{MeV}$	
total	$150\mathrm{MeV}$	$110\mathrm{MeV}$	$360\mathrm{MeV}$	$150\mathrm{MeV}$
uncertainties for top quark mass				

[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]



currently missing / possible improvements:

- parametric uncertainty from α_s
- final state radiation
- including forward calorimeters (higher statistics)
- $t\bar{t}$ cross section: axial vector current
- $t\bar{t}$ cross section: higher order electroweak effects

Inclusive Top Quark Pair Production Cross Section from Threshold to Continuum



Inclusive Cross Section - Theory Overview



Inclusive Cross Section - Theory Overview



Inclusive Cross Section - Theory Overview



Inclusive Cross Section -Threshold



At threshold: $v \sim lpha_s, \, lpha_s \log(v) \sim 1$

```
At threshold: v\sim lpha_s, lpha_s\log(v)\sim 1
```

 \rightarrow ladder diagrams are enhanced



- → resummation of ladder diagrams with Schrödinger equation
- → numerical solution with Toppik [Hoang, Teubner 1999]

```
At threshold: v\sim lpha_s, lpha_s\log(v)\sim 1
```

 \rightarrow ladder diagrams are enhanced



- → resummation of ladder diagrams with Schrödinger equation
- → numerical solution with Toppik [Hoang, Teubner 1999]
- → upgraded version of Toppik
 - precision now 10⁻⁴
 - 10 50 times faster than original version



At threshold: $v\sim lpha_s, lpha_s\log(v)\sim 1$

- resummation of ladder diagrams gives toponium resonances
- large top quark width smears out the top quark resonances



→ inclusion of width by the replacement $\sqrt{s} + i\epsilon \rightarrow \sqrt{s} + i\Gamma_t$ (gives LO electroweak contributions at threshold) [Fadin, Khoze 1987]

Threshold - Large Logarithms

At threshold: $v \sim lpha_s, \overline{lpha_s \log(v) \sim 1}$

→ resummation with vNRQCD (velocity non-relativistic QCD) [Hoang, Stahlhofen 2013]

Contributions to the cross section at threshold :

$$\sigma_{\text{NRQCD}}^{\text{NNLL}} = v \sum_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^n (\alpha_s \log v)^m \qquad \text{LL}$$
$$+ v^2 \sum_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^n (\alpha_s \log v)^m \qquad \text{NLL}$$
$$+ v^3 \sum_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^n (\alpha_s \log v)^m \qquad \text{NNLL}$$

Threshold - Large Logarithms

At threshold: $v \sim lpha_s, lpha_s \log(v) \sim 1$

→ resummation with vNRQCD (velocity non-relativistic QCD) [Hoang, Stahlhofen 2013]

Contributions to the cross section at threshold :



(error bands from variation of renormalization scales)

Inclusive Cross Section -Continuum



Continuum Cross Section

The inclusive cross section is related to the vacuum polarization by the optical theorem:

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{s} Q_t^2 \operatorname{Im} \left[\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]$$
$$= \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{s} Q_t^2 \operatorname{Im} \left[\Pi(\sqrt{s} + i\Gamma_t) \right]$$

In the continuum:

$$\sigma_{\rm QCD}^{\rm N^3LO} = \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{s} Q_t^2 \cdot \operatorname{Im}\left[\Pi^{(0)} + \alpha_s \Pi^{(1)} + \alpha_s^2 \Pi^{(2)} + \alpha_s^3 \Pi^{(3)}\right]$$

- $\Pi^{(0)}$, $\Pi^{(1)}$... known analytically
- $\Pi^{(2)}$, $\Pi^{(3)}$... reconstructed with Padé approximations [Hoang, Mateu, Zebarjad 2009] [Kiyo, Maier, Maierhofer, Marquard 2009] (validity of Padé approximations for $\Pi^{(2)}$ shown by comparison to exact numerical

result in [Maier, Marquard 2017])

Continuum Cross Section

The inclusive cross section is related to the vacuum polarization by the optical theorem:

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{s} Q_t^2 \operatorname{Im} \left[\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \right]$$
$$= \frac{(4\pi\alpha)^2}{s} Q_t^2 \operatorname{Im} \left[\Pi(\sqrt{s} + i\Gamma_t) \right]$$

In the continuum:



Theory Error for NNNLO_{continuum}

the cross section at NNNLO_{continuum} shows a difference between the pole scheme and the MSR scheme:



- cross section in the pole scheme and the MSR scheme are incompatible (error bands do not overlap)
- scale variation seems to underestimate the error
- difference corresponds to 1 GeV difference in the top quark mass

• (known) $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$ mass scheme corrections seem to favor MSR mass scheme

Inclusive Cross Section -Mass Schemes

- pole mass scheme renormalon
- 1S mass scheme for the threshold
- MS mass scheme
- for the continuum
- MSR mass scheme for all regions

Mass Schemes - Pole Mass

Full propagator:

$$S_F^0 = rac{i}{{
ot\!\!/} p - m_0 + \Sigma({
ot\!\!/} p,\,m_0)}$$
 ,

Pole mass:

$$p - m_0 + \Sigma(p, m_0) |_{p^2 = m_{\text{pole}}^2} = 0$$



→ pole mass renormalon leads to bad convergence of the cross section already at lower orders

Renormalon at threshold:





Full propagator:
$$S_F = \frac{i}{\not p - \overline{m}(\mu) + \Sigma_{\text{finite}}(\not p, \overline{m}(\mu))}$$
, $\Sigma(\not p, m_0) =$

Conversion:

$$\begin{split} m_{pole} &= \overline{m} + \overline{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(n_l, n_h) \, \alpha_s(\overline{m})^n \\ &= \overline{m} + \overline{m} \, \alpha_s \, a_1 + \dots \qquad (\ \overline{m} = \overline{m}^{(n_l+1)}(\overline{m}^{(n_l+1)}) \) \\ &\sim mv \\ &\rightarrow \text{ works only in the continuum} \end{split}$$

Breaking of non-relativistic power counting in the MS scheme:

$$\begin{split} v_{\text{pole}} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,m_{\text{pole}}}{m_{\text{pole}}}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,(\overline{m} + \overline{m}\,a_1\,\alpha_s)}{\overline{m} + \overline{m}\,a_1\,\alpha_s}} \\ &= v_{\overline{\text{MS}}} - a_1\,\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}}\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2\right) + a_1^2\,\left(\frac{\alpha_s^2}{v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^3}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2 + \frac{3}{8}\,v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^4\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \\ &\text{At threshold:} \qquad \sim \alpha_s \qquad \sim \alpha_s^0 \qquad \qquad \sim \alpha_s^{-1} \end{split}$$

Full propagator:
$$S_F = \frac{i}{\not p - \overline{m}(\mu) + \Sigma_{\text{finite}}(\not p, \overline{m}(\mu))}$$
, $\Sigma(\not p, m_0) =$

Conversion:

$$\begin{split} m_{pole} &= \overline{m} + \overline{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(n_l, n_h) \, \alpha_s(\overline{m})^n \\ &= \overline{m} + \overline{m} \, \alpha_s \, a_1 + \dots \qquad (\ \overline{m} = \overline{m}^{(n_l+1)}(\overline{m}^{(n_l+1)}) \) \\ &\sim mv \\ &\rightarrow \text{ works only in the continuum} \end{split}$$

Breaking of non-relativistic power counting in the MS scheme:

$$\begin{split} v_{\text{pole}} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,m_{\text{pole}}}{m_{\text{pole}}}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,(\overline{m} + \overline{m}\,a_1\,\alpha_s)}{\overline{m} + \overline{m}\,a_1\,\alpha_s}} \\ &= v_{\overline{\text{MS}}} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2}\right)^0 - a_1\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2}\right)^1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2\right) + a_1^2\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2}\right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2 + \frac{3}{8}\,v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^4\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\alpha_s/v_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2\right)^3\right) \right) \end{split}$$

In the intermediate region:

power counting breaking for $v_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{MS}}^2 \lesssim \alpha_s$

[Hoang, Ligeti, Manohar 1998]

Mass of 1S resonance: $M_{t\bar{t}}^{3S1} = E_{\text{bin}} + 2 m_{\text{pole}}$

1S mass:

$$m_{1S} = \frac{1}{2}M_{tt}^{3S1} = m_{\text{pole}} + \frac{1}{2}E_{\text{bin}}$$



other low-scale short-distance mass schemes:

PS mass [Beneke 1998], RS mass [Pineda 2001], kinetic mass [Czarnecki, Melnikov, Uraltsev 1998]

[Hoang, Ligeti, Manohar 1998]

Mass of 1S resonance: $M_{t\bar{t}}^{3S1} = E_{\text{bin}} + 2 m_{\text{pole}}$

1S mass:

$$m_{1S} = \frac{1}{2}M_{tt}^{3S1} = m_{\text{pole}} + \frac{1}{2}E_{\text{bin}}$$

Conversion:
$$m_{1S} = m_{pole} + (C_F \alpha_s(\mu) m_{pole}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{n,k} \alpha_s(\mu)^n \log\left(\frac{\mu}{C_F \alpha_s(\mu) m_{pole}}\right)$$

= $m_{pole} - \frac{2}{9} \alpha_s^2 m_{pole} + \dots$
 $\sim mv^2$

no breaking of the non-relativistic power counting at threshold:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\text{pole}} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,m_{\text{pole}}}{m_{\text{pole}}}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,(m_{1\text{S}} - m_{1\text{S}}\,c_{1,0}\,\alpha_s^2)}{m_{1\text{S}} - m_{1\text{S}}\,c_{1,0}\,\alpha_s^2}} \\ &= v_{1\text{S}} + c_{1,0}\,\left(\frac{\alpha_s^2}{v_{1\text{S}}}\right)\left(1 + \frac{v_{1\text{S}}^2}{2}\right) + c_{1,0}^2\,\left(\frac{\alpha_s^4}{v_{1\text{S}}^3}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}v_{1\text{S}}^2 + \frac{3}{8}v_{1\text{S}}^4\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^6) \\ &\sim \alpha_s \qquad \sim \alpha_s \qquad \sim \alpha_s \end{aligned}$$

[Hoang, Jain, Scimemi, Stewart 2008], [Hoang, Jain, Lepenik, Mateu, Preisser, Scimemi, Stewart 2017]

Conversion:
$$m_{pole} = \overline{m}$$
 $+ \overline{m} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(\overline{m})^n = \overline{m}$ $+ \overline{m} \ \alpha_s a_1 + \dots$
 $m_{pole} = m_{MSR}(R) + R \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(R)^n = m_{MSR}(R) + R \ \alpha_s a_1 + \dots$

 \rightarrow no breaking of the non-relativistic power counting at threshold

$$\begin{split} v_{\text{pole}} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,m_{\text{pole}}}{m_{\text{pole}}}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,(m_{\text{MSR}} + R\,a_{1}\,\alpha_{s})}{m_{\text{MSR}} + R\,a_{1}\,\alpha_{s}}} \\ &= v_{\text{MSR}} - a_{1}\,\alpha_{s}\left(\frac{R}{m_{\text{MSR}}v_{\text{MSR}}}\right)\left(1 + \frac{v_{\text{MSR}}^{2}}{2}\right) + a_{1}^{2}\,\frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}}{v_{\text{MSR}}}\left(\frac{R}{m_{\text{MSR}}v_{\text{MSR}}}\right)^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}v_{\text{MSR}}^{2} + \frac{3}{8}v_{\text{MSR}}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{3}) \\ &\sim \alpha_{s} \qquad \sim \alpha_{s} \end{split}$$

[Hoang, Jain, Scimemi, Stewart 2008], [Hoang, Jain, Lepenik, Mateu, Preisser, Scimemi, Stewart 2017]

Conversion:
$$m_{pole} = \overline{m}$$
 $+ \overline{m} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(\overline{m})^n = \overline{m}$ $+ \overline{m} \ \alpha_s \ a_1 + \dots$
 $m_{pole} = m_{MSR}(R) + R \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(R)^n = m_{MSR}(R) + R \ \alpha_s \ a_1 + \dots$

 \rightarrow no breaking of the non-relativistic power counting at threshold

$$\begin{split} v_{\rm pole} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,m_{\rm pole}}{m_{\rm pole}}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{s} - 2\,(m_{\rm MSR} + R\,a_{1}\,\alpha_{s})}{m_{\rm MSR} + R\,a_{1}\,\alpha_{s}}} \\ &= v_{\rm MSR} - a_{1}\,\alpha_{s}\left(\frac{R}{m_{\rm MSR}v_{\rm MSR}}\right)\left(1 + \frac{v_{\rm MSR}^{2}}{2}\right) + a_{1}^{2}\,\frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}}{v_{\rm MSR}}\left(\frac{R}{m_{\rm MSR}v_{\rm MSR}}\right)^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}v_{\rm MSR}^{2} + \frac{3}{8}v_{\rm MSR}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{3}) \end{split}$$

 $\rightarrow \mbox{ no power counting breaking for } R \sim m v$

[Hoang, Jain, Scimemi, Stewart 2008], [Hoang, Jain, Lepenik, Mateu, Preisser, Scimemi, Stewart 2017]

Conversion:
$$m_{pole} = \overline{m}$$
 $+ \overline{m} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(\overline{m})^n = \overline{m}$ $+ \overline{m} \ \alpha_s \ a_1 + \dots$
 $m_{pole} = m_{MSR}(R) + R \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(R)^n = m_{MSR}(R) + R \ \alpha_s \ a_1 + \dots$

- \rightarrow no breaking of the non-relativistic power counting at threshold
- \rightarrow improves convergence of the continuum cross section in the intermediate region:



23/32

[Hoang, Jain, Scimemi, Stewart 2008], [Hoang, Jain, Lepenik, Mateu, Preisser, Scimemi, Stewart 2017]

Conversion:
$$m_{pole} = \overline{m}$$
 $+ \overline{m} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(\overline{m})^n = \overline{m}$ $+ \overline{m} \ \alpha_s \ a_1 + \dots$
 $m_{pole} = m_{MSR}(R) + R \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ \infty}}^{\infty} a_n \ \alpha_s(R)^n = m_{MSR}(R) + R \ \alpha_s \ a_1 + \dots$

- \rightarrow no breaking of the non-relativistic power counting at threshold
- \rightarrow improves convergence of the continuum cross section in the intermediate region:



Inclusive Cross Section -Matching



 $\sigma_{\text{matched}} = \sigma_{\text{QCD}} + (\sigma_{\text{vNRQCD}} - \sigma_{\text{double-counted}}) \cdot f_s$

$$\sigma_{\text{matched}} = \sigma_{\text{QCD}} + (\sigma_{\text{vNRQCD}} - \sigma_{\text{double-counted}}) \cdot f_s$$

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\rm vNRQCD}^{\rm NNLO} &= v + \alpha_s + \alpha_s^2/v + \alpha_s^3/v^2 + \alpha_s^4/v^3 + \dots \\ &+ v^2 + \alpha_s v + \alpha_s^2 + \alpha_s^3/v + \alpha_s^4/v^2 + \dots \\ &+ v^3 + \alpha_s v^2 + \alpha_s^2 v + \alpha_s^3 + \alpha_s^4/v + \dots \\ &+ v^3 + \alpha_s v^2 + v^3 + v^4 + \dots \\ &+ \alpha_s + \alpha_s v + \alpha_s v^2 + \alpha_s v^3 + \dots \\ &+ \alpha_s^2/v + \alpha_s^2 + \alpha_s^2 v + \alpha_s^2 v^2 + \dots \\ &+ \alpha_s^3/v^2 + \alpha_s^3/v + \alpha_s^3 + \alpha_s^3 + \alpha_s^3 + \dots \end{split}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{matched}} = \sigma_{\text{QCD}} + (\sigma_{\text{vNRQCD}} - \sigma_{\text{double-counted}}) \cdot f_s$$

$$\sigma_{\rm vNRQCD}^{\rm NNLO} = \begin{bmatrix} v + \alpha_s + \alpha_s^2/v + \alpha_s^3/v^2 + \alpha_s^4/v^3 + \dots \\ + v^2 + \alpha_s v + \alpha_s^2 + \alpha_s^3/v + \alpha_s^4/v^2 + \dots \\ + v^3 + \alpha_s v^2 + \alpha_s^2 v + \alpha_s^3 + \alpha_s^4/v + \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{\rm QCD}^{\rm N^3LO} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} v & + v^2 & + v^3 \\ + \alpha_s & + \alpha_s v & + \alpha_s v^2 \\ + \alpha_s v^3 + \dots \\ + \alpha_s^2/v & + \alpha_s^2 & + \alpha_s^2 v \\ + \alpha_s^3/v^2 + \alpha_s^3/v + \alpha_s^3 \\ + \alpha_s^3 & + \dots \end{array} \right)$$

 $\sigma_{\rm double-counted}$

$$\sigma_{\text{matched}} = \sigma_{\text{QCD}} + (\sigma_{\text{vNRQCD}} - \sigma_{\text{double-counted}}) \cdot f_s$$

switch-off function:

- variation gives an error estimate of the matching
- introduces scheme dependence
- do we get convergence when going to higher orders?



mass schemes:	$\sigma_{ m vNRQCD}$	1S mass scheme
	$\sigma_{ m QCD}$	MSR mass scheme
	$\sigma_{ m double-counted}$	MSR mass scheme

matched cross section from lowest to highest order:



- error from variation of renormalization scales and the switch off function
- matching smoothly connects threshold with continuum
- overall error reduces from order to order



- good convergence from order to order
- matching error smaller than variation of renormalization scales
- matching error reduces from order to order





threshold cross section vs. matched cross section

- → matched cross section starts to differ from the threshold cross section immediately above the peak region
- → higher order corrections from continuum cross section give small shift at threshold



continuum cross section vs. matched cross section

- → matched cross section and continuum MSR cross section overlap above 365 GeV
- → MSR mass scheme valid down to smaller center-of-mass energies than pole mass scheme and MS mass scheme



threshold cross section vs. matched cross section

- → matched cross section starts to differ from the threshold cross section immediately above the peak region
- → higher order corrections from continuum cross section give small shift at threshold

→ a part of the threshold scan points is in the intermediate region

[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

radiative events for ILC at 500 GeV:





[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

radiative events for ILC at 500 GeV:

 \twoheadrightarrow extraction of MSR mass $\ m_t^{\mbox{\tiny MSR}}(R)$ from 4 different bins





[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

radiative events for ILC at 500 GeV:

- \rightarrow extraction of MSR mass $m_t^{_{\mathrm{MSR}}}(R)$ from 4 different bins
- \rightarrow mass determined at representative *R* scale of each bin



[Boronat, Fullana, Fuster, Gomis, Hoang, Mateu, Vos, AW 2019 - to appear soon]

- radiative events make extraction of MSR mass $m_t^{\rm \tiny MSR}(R)$ at different energies, and therefore at different scales R, possible
- provides a consistency check of QCD with running MSR mass
- ILC at 500 GeV can test running of MSR mass with over 5σ significance



Conclusions

- Measurements using radiative events can determine the top quark mass with a precision of 110 MeV for CLIC at 380 GeV and 150 MeV for ILC at 500 GeV
- Consistent matching of the cross section at QCD NNLL_{threshold} + NNNLO_{continuum} with LO electroweak corrections at threshold has been implemented.
- The MSR mass provides a consistent mass scheme in all regions from threshold to the continuum.
- Extraction of the MSR mass at different energy scales provides a consistency check of QCD with the running MSR mass.
- <u>Outlook</u>:
 - differential matched cross section at NLL_{threshold} + NLO_{continuum}

Conclusions

- Measurements using radiative events can determine the top quark mass with a precision of 110 MeV for CLIC at 380 GeV and 150 MeV for ILC at 500 GeV
- Consistent matching of the cross section at QCD NNLL_{threshold} + NNNLO_{continuum} with LO electroweak corrections at threshold has been implemented.
- The MSR mass provides a consistent mass scheme in all regions from threshold to the continuum.
- Extraction of the MSR mass at different energy scales provides a consistency check of QCD with the running MSR mass.
- <u>Outlook</u>:
 - differential matched cross section at NLL_{threshold} + NLO_{continuum}

Thank you for your attention!

Backup

Matching - a few Subtleties

• Full matching for NNLL_{threshold} + NNNLO_{continuum} involves logarithms (Expanded terms on slide 25 shown for NNLO_{threshold} + NNNLO_{continuum} for illustration)

$$\sigma_{\text{NRQCD}}^{\text{NNLL}} = v + \alpha_s + \alpha_s^2 / v + \alpha_s^3 / v^2 + \dots + v^2 + \alpha_s v + \alpha_s^2 + \alpha_s^3 / v + \dots + v^3 + \alpha_s v^2 + \alpha_s^2 v + \alpha_s^3 + \dots + \alpha_s v^3 L + \alpha_s^2 v^2 L + \alpha_s^3 v L + \dots + \alpha_s^2 v^3 L^2 + \alpha_s^3 v^2 L^2 + \dots + \alpha_s^3 v^3 L^3 + \dots (L = \log v)$$

- logarithms of vNRQCD cross section depend on renormalization scale, logarithms of QCD cross section depend on velocity
- coefficients of logarithms from QCD cross section and from vNRQCD cross section can be different, depending on the Padé approximation used for the QCD cross section

Matching - a few Subtleties

- <u>difference from velocity vs. renormalization scale:</u> small at threshold, large in the continuum
- <u>different coefficients in the expansion</u> (for a Padé approximation which does not include the exact logarithms): significant also at threshold
 - → we use expansions from vNRQCD cross section for consistent matching





Matching - a few Subtleties

• Wilson coefficients can be used in expanded or unexpanded form, e.g.:

$$(c_1^{\text{NLL}})^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2C_F}{\pi} \alpha_s(\mu_h)\right)^2 \exp\left[2\xi^{\text{NLL}}(h,\nu)\right]$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{4C_F}{\pi} \alpha_s(\mu_h) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)\right) \exp\left[2\xi^{\text{NLL}}(h,\nu)\right]$$

- unexpanded form includes higher order corrections
- higher order corrections small at threshold, but large in the continuum
- only expanded form gives consistent matching

