# RG analysis at higher orders in perturbativ QFTs in CMP

#### Nikolai Zerf

Institut für Theoretische Physik University of Heidelberg

Humboldt University, Berlin 2017

[arXiv:1605.09423] & [arXiv:1703.08801]

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

**Overview** 









RG @ CMP

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

# Motivation



- Obtain reliable & precise predictions
- In HEP the SM (QFT with  $\mathcal{L}_{SM}$ ) provides collider observables

- New physics includes realization of SUSY? (MSSM, nMSSM, ...)
- $\bigcirc$  Origin of  $\mathcal{L}_{SM}$ ?
- Origin of QFTs?

#### How to proceed?

- Build and run LHC to find new physics
- Calculate observables at higher order in PT
  - Investigate on various QFTs (may not have HEP relevancy)

# Work in collaboration with...



University of Alberta (Edmonton) Joseph Maciejko Chien-Hung Lin

University of Heidelberg Michael Scherer ( $\rightarrow$  Köln) Luminita Mihaila Bernhard Ihrig



DESY Zeuthen Peter Marguard

- E - N

### Where to find QFTs?

On the blackboard at the office of my boss in Edmonton



A.P.: "Some condensed matter physics guy asked me how to calculate these diagrams..."

*"Its only two loops. This should be easy for you. You can probably do it in a week or two."* 

#### Where to find QFTs?

() At the office of *Joseph Maciejko* in Edmonton

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\partial \!\!\!/\psi + |\partial_{\mu}\phi|^2 + m^2 |\phi|^2 + \lambda^2 |\phi|^4 + h(\phi^*\psi^T i\sigma_2\psi + h.c.) \,.$$

- Imaginary time Landau-Ginzburg Lagrangian with Lorenzian-symmetry.
- $\psi$ : 2-component Dirac fermion
- Ø = γ<sup>µ</sup> · ∂<sub>µ</sub>. +Clifford algebra: {γ<sup>µ</sup>, γ<sup>ν</sup>} = 2g<sup>µν</sup>. (Ex.Rep.: γ<sup>0</sup> = σ<sub>3</sub>, γ<sup>1</sup> = σ<sub>1</sub>, γ<sup>2</sup> = σ<sub>2</sub>)
   "iσ<sub>2</sub>" → ε! Invariant SU(2) tensors: (<sup>a</sup><sub>T</sub> = σ<sub>a/2</sub>)

$$\bullet \quad ``i\sigma_2" \to \epsilon! \text{ Invariant } SU(2) \text{ tensors:} \\ \alpha \to \beta = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \alpha \to \beta = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}, \\ \alpha \to \beta = \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \to \beta = \overset{a}{T}^{\beta}_{\alpha}.$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

#### LET for Superconductor

Landau-Ginzburg Lagrangian with Lorenzian-symmetry

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\partial\!\!\!/\psi + |\partial_{\mu}\phi|^2 + m^2|\phi|^2 + \lambda^2|\phi|^4 + h(\phi^*\psi^T i\sigma_2\psi + h.c.) \,.$$

Semimetal phase Global U(1) symmetry for  $m^2 > 0$ 

$$\psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \psi$$
,  $\phi \rightarrow e^{2i\theta} \phi$ ,  $\langle 0|\phi|0 \rangle = \phi_0 = 0$ .

- Phasetransition at Quantum Critical Point (QCP) for  $m^2 = 0$
- Superconducting phase Spontaneously broken global U(1) symmetry for m<sup>2</sup> < 0</p>

$$\langle 0|\phi|0
angle=\phi_0
eq 0$$
 .

alias "non-vanishing order parameter  $\phi_0$ "

4 D N 4 B N 4 B N 4 B

#### LET for Superconductor

Landau-Ginzburg Lagrangian with Lorenzian-symmetry

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\partial \!\!\!/\psi + |\partial_{\mu}\phi|^2 + m^2|\phi|^2 + \lambda^2|\phi|^4 + h(\phi^*\psi^T i\sigma_2\psi + h.c.) \,.$$

We behavior λ(μ), h(μ) for small scale μ/low energy limit in d = 2 + 1 = 3 dimensions at the QCP?
 Results for MS β-function at 1-loop PT in d = 4 - ε
 [Scott Thomas 05]: h<sup>2</sup> = h<sup>2</sup>/(4π)<sup>2</sup>, λ<sup>2</sup> = λ<sup>2</sup>/(4π)<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{split} \beta_{h^2} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}h^2}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu} = -\epsilon\overline{h}^2 + 12\overline{h}^4, \\ \beta_{\lambda^2} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda^2}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu} = -\epsilon\overline{\lambda}^2 + 20\overline{\lambda}^4 + 8\overline{h}^2\overline{\lambda}^2 - 16\overline{h}^4, \\ \gamma_{\psi} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln Z_{\psi}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu} = 4\overline{h}^2, \\ \gamma_{\phi} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln Z_{\phi}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu} = 4\overline{h}^2. \end{split}$$

Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

### Renormalization

Do MS field and coupling redefinitions:

$$\begin{split} \phi &\to \phi^0 = \sqrt{Z_{\phi}}\phi \,, \qquad \qquad \psi \to \psi^0 = \sqrt{Z_{\psi}}\psi \,, \\ \lambda &\to \lambda^0 = \mu^{\epsilon/2} Z_{\lambda}\lambda \,, \qquad \qquad h \to h^0 = \mu^{\epsilon/2} Z_h h \,. \end{split}$$

Renormalized Landau-Ginzburg Lagrangian

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} = & i Z_{\psi} \bar{\psi} \partial \!\!\!/ \psi + Z_{\phi} |\partial_{\mu} \phi|^2 + Z_{\phi^4} \lambda^2 \mu^{\epsilon} |\phi|^4 \\ & + Z_{\psi\psi\phi} h \mu^{\epsilon/2} (\phi^* \psi^T i \sigma_2 \psi + \text{h.c.}) \,. \\ Z_{\phi^4} = & Z_{\lambda}^2 Z_{\phi}^2 \,, \qquad Z_{\psi\psi\phi} = Z_h \sqrt{Z_{\phi}} Z_{\psi} \,. \end{split}$$

**(1)** Bare parameters and fields do not depend on  $\mu$ .  $\rightarrow \beta, \gamma$ .

$$rac{\mathrm{d}X^0}{\mathrm{d}\mu}=0\,,\qquad X\in\{h^2,\lambda^2,\psi,\phi\}\,.$$

A B > A B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi}$  (L = 1)



Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi}$  (L = 1)



Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi\psi\phi}$  (L = 1)

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi^4}$  (L = 1)



Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi}$  (L = 2)



Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi}$  (L = 2)



Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi\psi\phi}$  (L=2)



Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi^4}$  (L = 2)



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-PI *n*-point functions at  $L = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi}$  (L = 3)



HUB 2017

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi}$  (L = 3)



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

RG @ CMP

HUB 2017 10 / 37

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi\psi\phi}$  (L = 3)



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi^4}$  (L = 3)



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

RG @ CMP

HUB 2017 10 / 37

- Chosing "massiv tadpole kinematics"
  - ▶ Use single IR regulator mass *M* in every denominator
  - Expand in small external momenta  $|p_i|/M \ll 1$
  - All integrals are 1-scale tadpole integrals
  - Use infrared rearrangement [Chetyrkin,Misiak,Munz] to consistently subtract artificial terms  $\sim M^2$

- Chosing "massiv tadpole kinematics"
  - ▶ Use single IR regulator mass *M* in every denominator
  - Expand in small external momenta  $|p_i|/M \ll 1$
  - All integrals are 1-scale tadpole integrals
  - Use infrared rearrangement [Chetyrkin,Misiak,Munz] to consistently subtract artificial terms  $\sim M^2$
- \Rightarrow Fully automatized setup
  - QGRAF [Nogueira]
  - q2e [Seidensticker]
  - exp [Seidensticker]
  - FORM [Vermaseren & Co]
  - MATAD [Steinhauser] OR CRUSHER [Marquard,Seidel]
  - modified majoranas.pl [Harlander]
  - RecalcPrefac [NZ]

(4) (5) (4) (5)

 $igstar{}$  Sign of Wick factor *S* is correlated with index order in  $\epsilon_{lphaeta}$ 

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

igsquiring Sign of Wick factor *S* is correlated with index order in  $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ 

$$\langle 0|\hat{a}_{\phi} (\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\phi^{\star}\psi_{\alpha}\psi_{\beta}) (\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\gamma\delta}\psi^{\dagger\gamma}\psi^{\dagger\delta}\phi) \hat{a}_{\phi}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \longrightarrow S = -\frac{1}{2}$$

#### Sign of Wick factor *S* is correlated with index order in $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ Generate diagrams with equivalent fermions $\rightarrow |S|$ $\downarrow^{e}$ $\downarrow^{e}$ $\downarrow^{\phi}$ $\downarrow^{\phi}$ $\downarrow^{\phi}$ $\downarrow^{\phi}$ $\downarrow^{\phi}$ $\downarrow^{g}$ $\downarrow^{g}$

 $\Rightarrow$  Generate diagrams with equivalent fermions  $\rightarrow |S|$ 

Chose a "Denner" current flow direction & recalculate sign of S

$$\begin{array}{c} \overset{e}{\phantom{abc}} & & \langle 0|\hat{a}_{\phi} \left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\phi^{\star}\psi_{\alpha}\psi_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\gamma\delta}\psi^{\gamma}\psi^{\dagger}\delta\phi\right)\hat{a}_{\phi}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\ & & \rightarrow S = -\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$$

 ${igveen}$  Sign of Wick factor  ${\scriptscriptstyle S}$  is correlated with index order in  $\epsilon_{lphaeta}$ 

• Generate diagrams with equivalent fermions  $\rightarrow |S|$ 

Chose a "Denner" current flow direction & recalculate sign of S

$$\begin{array}{c} & \overset{e}{\longrightarrow} & \overset{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & \langle 0|\hat{a}_{\phi} \left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\phi^{\star}\psi_{\alpha}\psi_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\gamma\delta}\psi^{\dagger\gamma}\psi^{\delta}\phi\right)\hat{a}_{\phi}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\ & \rightarrow S = +\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$$

 ${igveen}$  Sign of Wick factor  ${\scriptscriptstyle S}$  is correlated with index order in  $\epsilon_{lphaeta}$ 

- $\Rightarrow$  Generate diagrams with equivalent fermions  $\rightarrow |S|$
- Chose a "Denner" current flow direction & recalculate sign of S

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ &$$

+ using:

$$S_F = \overline{\psi} \psi^{\dagger} \to (-1) \cdot S_F$$
.

- igvee Sign of Wick factor  ${\it S}$  is correlated with index order in  $\epsilon_{lphaeta}$
- $\Rightarrow$  Generate diagrams with equivalent fermions  $\rightarrow |S|$
- Chose a "Denner" current flow direction & recalculate sign of S

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ &$$

+ using:

$$S_F = \overline{\psi} \psi^{\dagger} \to (-1) \cdot S_F.$$









😨 Rider for sign controll 🛛 → 🔺 🔶









😨 Rider for sign controll 🛛 → 🗸 🔶







😨 Rider for sign controll 🛛 → 🔺 🔶









**Rider for sign controll**  $\rightarrow 4 = - \rightarrow + +$ 

# How to $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ ?



#### Shorthands

$$\alpha \longrightarrow \beta = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}, \qquad \alpha \longrightarrow \beta = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad \alpha \longrightarrow \beta = \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}, \qquad a \longrightarrow \beta = T^{\beta}_{\alpha}$$

Rider for sign controll

Invariance conditions  $[G(\omega) = \exp(i\tilde{T}\omega^a)]$ 

 $\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} = G(\omega)_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1} G(\omega)_{\alpha_2}^{\gamma_2} \epsilon_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}, \quad \epsilon^{\beta_1\beta_2} = \epsilon^{\delta_1\delta_2} G^{-1}(\omega)_{\delta_1}^{\beta_1} G^{-1}(\omega)_{\delta_2}^{\beta_2}.$ 

 $\alpha$ 

# How to $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ ?



#### Shorthands



- Rider for sign controll
- Invariance condition  $\sim \omega^1$

$$\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} = -\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}, \qquad \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} = -\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}},$$
## How to $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ ?



#### Shorthands





Invariance condition  $\sim \omega^1$ 



(日)

## How to $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ ?



#### Shorthands





Invariance condition  $\sim \omega^1$ 





 $\bullet$   $\epsilon$  can be emulated by naive anti-commuting  $\gamma_5$ :

$$\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma_5\}=0\,.$$

P + 4 = + 4 = +

 $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  @ 3 Loops

$$\begin{split} \beta_{h^2} &= -\epsilon \overline{h}^2 + 12 \overline{h}^4 & \overline{h}^2 = h^2 / (4\pi)^2, \overline{\lambda}^2 = \lambda^2 / (4\pi)^2 \\ &+ 8 \overline{h}^2 \overline{\lambda}^4 - 64 \overline{h}^4 \overline{\lambda}^2 + 8 \overline{h}^6 \\ &- 40 \overline{h}^2 \overline{\lambda}^6 + 300 \overline{h}^4 \overline{\lambda}^4 + 1632 \overline{h}^6 \overline{\lambda}^2 - [1652 - 576 \zeta_3] \overline{h}^8, \\ \beta_{\lambda^2} &= -\epsilon \overline{\lambda}^2 + 20 \overline{\lambda}^4 + 8 \overline{h}^2 \overline{\lambda}^2 - 16 \overline{h}^4 \\ &- 80 \overline{h}^2 \overline{\lambda}^4 + 16 \overline{h}^4 \overline{\lambda}^2 + 256 \overline{h}^6 - 240 \overline{\lambda}^6 \\ &+ 904 \overline{h}^2 \overline{\lambda}^6 + [3832 + 3264 \zeta_3] \overline{h}^4 \overline{\lambda}^4 - [8664 + 2688 \zeta_3] \overline{h}^6 \overline{\lambda}^2 \\ &- [768 + 3072 \zeta_3] \overline{h}^8 + [4936 + 3072 \zeta_3] \overline{\lambda}^8, \\ \gamma_{\phi} &= 4 \overline{h}^2 - 24 \overline{h}^4 + 8 \overline{\lambda}^4 \\ &- 40 \overline{\lambda}^6 - 60 \overline{h}^2 \overline{\lambda}^4 + 160 \overline{h}^4 \overline{\lambda}^2 + [20 + 192 \zeta_3] \overline{h}^6, \\ \gamma_{\psi} &= 4 \overline{h}^2 - 16 \overline{h}^4 \\ &- 44 \overline{h}^2 \overline{\lambda}^4 + 128 \overline{h}^4 \overline{\lambda}^2 + [192 \zeta_3 - 4] \overline{h}^6. \end{split}$$

#### $\beta$ and $\gamma$ @ 3 Loops

when  $\overline{\lambda} = \overline{h}$ :  $\overline{h}^2 = h^2/(4\pi)^2$ 

$$\begin{split} \beta_{h^2} &= -\epsilon \overline{h}^2 + 12 \overline{h}^4 - 48 \overline{h}^6 + 48 \overline{h}^8 (5 + 12 \zeta_3) \,, \\ \beta_{\lambda^2} &= -\epsilon \overline{h}^2 + 12 \overline{h}^4 - 48 \overline{h}^6 + 48 \overline{h}^8 (5 + 12 \zeta_3) \,, \\ \gamma_{\phi} &= 4 \overline{h}^2 - 16 \overline{h}^4 + 16 \overline{h}^6 (5 + 12 \zeta_3) \,, \\ \gamma_{\psi} &= 4 \overline{h}^2 - 16 \overline{h}^4 + 16 \overline{h}^6 (5 + 12 \zeta_3) \,. \end{split}$$

#### $\beta$ and $\gamma$ @ 3 Loops



Searching for IR fixed points ( $\mu \rightarrow 0$ ) at d = 3:

$$(\overline{h}_{*}^{2}, \overline{\lambda}_{*}^{2}) = (0, 0), \quad (unstable)$$

$$(\overline{h}_{*}^{2}, \overline{\lambda}_{*}^{2}) = \left(0, \frac{\epsilon}{20} + \frac{3\epsilon^{2}}{100} - \frac{384\zeta_{3} - 103}{20000}\epsilon^{3}\right), \quad (unstable)$$

$$\overline{h}_{*}^{2} = \overline{\lambda}_{*}^{2} = \frac{\epsilon}{12} + \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{36} - \frac{4\zeta_{3} - 1}{144}\epsilon^{3}. \quad (stable)$$

Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

RG @ CMP

Searching for IR fixed points ( $\mu \rightarrow 0$ ) at d = 3:

Searching for IR fixed points ( $\mu \rightarrow 0$ ) at d = 3:

$$\left( \overline{h}_{*}^{2}, \overline{\lambda}_{*}^{2} \right) = (0, 0), \quad (unstable)$$

$$\left( \overline{h}_{*}^{2}, \overline{\lambda}_{*}^{2} \right) = \left( 0, \frac{\epsilon}{20} + \frac{3\epsilon^{2}}{100} - \frac{384\zeta_{3} - 103}{20000} \epsilon^{3} \right), \quad (unstable)$$

$$\overline{h}_{*}^{2} = \overline{\lambda}_{*}^{2} = \frac{\epsilon}{12} + \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{36} - \frac{4\zeta_{3} - 1}{144} \epsilon^{3}. \quad (stable)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \lambda^{2} \\ 0(2) \\ W-F \\ G \\ 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Searching for IR fixed points ( $\mu \rightarrow 0$ ) at d = 3:

$$\left( \overline{h}_{*}^{2}, \overline{\lambda}_{*}^{2} \right) = (0, 0), \quad (unstable)$$

$$\left( \overline{h}_{*}^{2}, \overline{\lambda}_{*}^{2} \right) = \left( 0, \frac{\epsilon}{20} + \frac{3\epsilon^{2}}{100} - \frac{384\zeta_{3} - 103}{20000} \epsilon^{3} \right), \quad (unstable)$$

$$\overline{h}_{*}^{2} = \overline{\lambda}_{*}^{2} = \frac{\epsilon}{12} + \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{36} - \frac{4\zeta_{3} - 1}{144} \epsilon^{3}. \quad (stable)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \lambda^{2} \\ 0(2) \\ W-F \\ G \\ 0 \end{array} \right)$$

PT 3-loop RG analysis around  $d = 4 - \epsilon$ supports dynamically emergent SUSY conjecture at d = 3

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

⇒ PT 3-loop RG analysis around  $d = 4 - \epsilon$ supports dynamically emergent SUSY conjecture at d = 3

**()** At SUSY fixed point  $(\lambda^2 = h^2, m = 0)$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \int d^2 \theta d^2 \bar{\theta} \, \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \left( \int d^2 \theta \frac{h}{3} \Phi^3 + \text{h.c.} \right) \,, \\ \Phi(y) &= \phi(y) + \sqrt{2} \theta \psi(y) + \theta^2 F(y) \,, \\ y^{\mu} &= x^{\mu} - i \theta \gamma^{\mu} \bar{\theta} \,. \end{split}$$

PT 3-loop RG analysis around  $d = 4 - \epsilon$ supports dynamically emergent SUSY conjecture at d = 3



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \int d^2 \theta d^2 \bar{\theta} \, \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \left( \int d^2 \theta \frac{h}{3} \Phi^3 + \text{h.c.} \right) \,, \\ \Phi(\mathbf{y}) &= \phi(\mathbf{y}) + \sqrt{2} \theta \psi(\mathbf{y}) + \theta^2 F(\mathbf{y}) \,, \\ \mathbf{y}^{\mu} &= \mathbf{x}^{\mu} - i \theta \gamma^{\mu} \bar{\theta} \,. \end{split}$$

SUSY non-renormalization theorems

PT 3-loop RG analysis around  $d = 4 - \epsilon$ supports dynamically emergent SUSY conjecture at d = 3



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \int d^2 \theta d^2 \bar{\theta} \, \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \left( \int d^2 \theta \frac{h}{3} \Phi^3 + \text{h.c.} \right) \,, \\ \Phi(y) &= \phi(y) + \sqrt{2} \theta \psi(y) + \theta^2 F(y) \,, \\ y^{\mu} &= x^{\mu} - i \theta \gamma^{\mu} \bar{\theta} \,. \end{split}$$

- SUSY non-renormalization theorems
- At the SUSY fixed point we check: [Strassler'03]

$$\gamma_{\phi}^* = \gamma_{\psi}^* = \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4).$$

**(**) Stability exponent ( $\omega \ge 0$  IR stable/unstable \*)

$$\omega = \frac{\mathrm{d}\beta_{h^2}(\lambda^2 = h^2)}{\mathrm{d}h^2}\Big|_{h=h_*} = -\epsilon - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{1}{18} + \frac{2}{3}\zeta_3\right)\epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)\,.$$

**(**) Stability exponent ( $\omega \ge 0$  IR stable/unstable \*)

$$\omega = \frac{\mathrm{d}\beta_{h^2}(\lambda^2 = h^2)}{\mathrm{d}h^2}\Big|_{h=h_*} = -\epsilon - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{1}{18} + \frac{2}{3}\zeta_3\right)\epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)\,.$$

Correlation length exponent

$$\nu^{-1} = 2 + \gamma_{m^2}^*, \qquad \gamma_{m^2} = \frac{\mathrm{d} \ln Z_{m^2}}{\mathrm{d} \ln \mu}, \qquad Z_{m^2} m^2 = m_0^2.$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

**(**) Stability exponent ( $\omega \ge 0$  IR stable/unstable \*)

$$\omega = \frac{\mathrm{d}\beta_{h^2}(\lambda^2 = h^2)}{\mathrm{d}h^2}\Big|_{h=h_*} = \epsilon - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{1}{18} + \frac{2}{3}\zeta_3\right)\epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4).$$

Correlation length exponent

$$u^{-1} = 2 + \gamma_{m^2}^*, \qquad \gamma_{m^2} = \frac{\mathrm{d} \ln Z_{m^2}}{\mathrm{d} \ln \mu}, \qquad Z_{m^2} m^2 = m_0^2.$$

Using "Grassmannian continuation" of the SUSY Lagrangian

$$\gamma_{m^2}^* = -\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}\beta_{h^2}(\lambda^2 = h^2)}{\mathrm{d}h^2} \right|_{h=h_*} = -3h_*^2 \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma_{h^2}(\lambda^2 = h^2)}{\mathrm{d}h^2} \right|_{h=h_*}$$

A B > A B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A

**(**) Stability exponent ( $\omega \ge 0$  IR stable/unstable \*)

$$\omega = \frac{\mathrm{d}\beta_{h^2}(\lambda^2 = h^2)}{\mathrm{d}h^2}\Big|_{h=h_*} = \epsilon - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{1}{18} + \frac{2}{3}\zeta_3\right)\epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)\,.$$

Correlation length exponent

$$u^{-1} = 2 + \gamma_{m^2}^*, \qquad \gamma_{m^2} = \frac{\mathrm{d} \ln Z_{m^2}}{\mathrm{d} \ln \mu}, \qquad Z_{m^2} m^2 = m_0^2.$$

Using "Grassmannian continuation" of the SUSY Lagrangian

$$\gamma_{m^2}^* = -\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}\beta_{h^2}(\lambda^2 = h^2)}{\mathrm{d}h^2} \right|_{h=h_*} = -3h_*^2 \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma_{h^2}(\lambda^2 = h^2)}{\mathrm{d}h^2} \right|_{h=h_*}$$

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon + \frac{1}{24}\epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\zeta_3 - \frac{1}{144}\right)\epsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)\,.$$

➡ Naive extrapolation to 
$$d = 2 + 1$$
 ( $\epsilon = 1$ ):

$$\nu \stackrel{1-\text{loop}}{=} 0.75$$
,  $\nu \stackrel{2-\text{loop}}{\approx} 0.792$ ,  $\nu \stackrel{3-\text{loop}}{\approx} 0.985$ .

Bootstrap result [Bobev,El-Showk,Mazac,Paulos'15]:

 $\nu\approx 0.917$  .

Where to find SUSY?



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

▲ ■ ▶ ■ つへの HUB 2017 19/37

## Where to find SUSY?



## Gauging U(1)

#### What happens to SUSY when there is a dynamical photon? $\Rightarrow \partial_{\mu} \rightarrow D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ieA_{\mu}$ :

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\mathcal{D}\psi + |D_{\mu}\phi|^{2} + m^{2}|\phi|^{2} + h(\phi^{*}\psi^{T}i\sigma_{2}\psi + \text{h.c.}) +\lambda^{2}|\phi|^{4} + \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^{2} + \frac{1}{2\xi}(\partial_{\mu}A_{\mu})^{2}, F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu},$$

(日)

## Gauging U(1)

#### What happens to SUSY when there is a dynamical photon?

	loops	1	2	3
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	3	27	502
		4	27	455
	e e	2	17	301
	γ γ	5	107	3084
	e ~~~~e	3	69	1996
	¢ ¢	3	64	1814
		20	683	26961

Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

(日)

# Gauging U(1)

What happens to SUSY when there is a dynamical photon?



#### We can do fore...

**NEW**: 4-loop result for  $\beta$  and  $\gamma @ e = 0$  (here for  $\lambda = h$ ):

$$\begin{split} \beta_{h^2} &= \beta_{\lambda^2} &= -\epsilon \overline{h}^2 + 12 \overline{h}^4 - 48 \overline{h}^6 + 48 \overline{h}^8 (5 + 12 \zeta_3) \\ &- 192 \overline{h}^{10} (9 + 60 \zeta_3 - 18 \zeta_4 + 80 \zeta_5) \,, \\ \gamma_{\psi} &= \gamma_{\phi} &= 4 \overline{h}^2 - 16 \overline{h}^4 + 16 \overline{h}^6 (5 + 12 \zeta_3) \\ &- 64 \overline{h}^8 (9 + 60 \zeta_3 - 18 \zeta_4 + 80 \zeta_5) \,. \end{split}$$

 $\bigotimes h^2 = \lambda^2$  limit in agreement with Wess-Zumino model [Avdeev,Goroshni'82]

# 📀 Stability?



 $\nu = 0.5 + 0.25\epsilon + 0.042\epsilon^2 + 0.193\epsilon^3 - 0.494\epsilon^4.$ 



Asymptotic Series: More sophisticated extrapolations to d = 3 required!

Padé:  $\nu_{[2,3]} \approx 0.918 \dots$ 

#### Intermission

#### Upcoming next: Gross-Neveu-Yukawa Model

## Where to find QFTs?



In Heidelberg, on the way to lunch @ Marstall with Michael Scherer The Gross-Neveu-Yukawa Model: "chiral Ising" Universality Class (UC)

$$\mathcal{L} = ar{\psi}(\partial \!\!\!/ + g\phi)\psi + rac{1}{2}\phi(m^2 - \partial_\mu^2)\phi + \lambda\phi^4\,.$$

"chiral Heisenberg" UC ( $T_F^a = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^a \in su(2)$ )

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_{\alpha} (\partial \!\!\!/ + g[T_F^a]^{\alpha}_{\beta} \phi_a) \psi^{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \phi_a (m^2 - \partial^2_{\mu}) \phi_a + \lambda (\phi_a \phi_a)^2 \,.$$

- Lorenzian-symmetry
- $\psi$ : Dirac fermions. Result of lattice structure
- ▶ *d*: real "spin-coupling" scalar-field
- $\partial = \gamma^{\mu} \cdot \partial_{\mu}$ . +Clifford algebra:  $\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}$ .
- Chiral symmetry (massless fermions!)

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-PI *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi}, Z_{m^2}$  (L = 1)



Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-PI *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi}$  (L = 1)



Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-PI *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi\psi\phi}$  (L = 1)



Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi^4}$  (L = 1)



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

RG @ CMP

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi}, Z_{m^2}$  (L = 2)



Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-PI *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi}$  (L = 2)



Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi\psi\phi}$  (L=2)



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi^4}$  (L = 2)



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi}, Z_{m^2}$  (L = 3)



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

RG @ CMP

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi}$  (L = 3)



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)
#### **Relevant Diagrams**

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\psi\psi\phi}$  (L=3)



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

#### **Relevant Diagrams**

Using DREG get poles in small  $\epsilon = 4 - d$  of 1-Pl *n*-point functions at L = 1, 2, 3, ... loops for "any" kinematics



U Example Diagrams  $Z_{\phi^4}$  (L = 3)



Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

RG @ CMP

### Ising vs Heisenberg



# Ising vs Heisenberg



Calculate color amplitudes for generic Lie algebra with FORM package COLOR [Ritbergen,Schellekens,Vermaseren'98]

# Ising vs Heisenberg



- Calculate color amplitudes for generic Lie algebra with FORM package COLOR [Ritbergen,Schellekens,Vermaseren'98]
- **(**) Color structure of  $\phi^4$ -vertex:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi^4} = \lambda (\phi_a \phi_a)^2 = \frac{\lambda}{3} \phi_a \phi_b \phi_c \phi_d (\delta_{ab} \delta_{cd} + \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} + \delta_{ad} \delta_{cb}) \,.$$

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & &$$

# What does *COLOR* do? $= \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ = \frac{1}$

Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

RG @ CMP

HUB 2017 26 / 37



< 同 > < 三 > < 三 >



HUB 2017 26 / 37

A B F A B F





What does COLOR do?



HUB 2017 27 / 37

#### Is the QFT with

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_{\alpha} (\partial \!\!\!/ + g[T^a_R]^{\alpha}_{\beta} \phi_a) \psi^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_a \partial^2_{\mu} \phi_a + \lambda (\phi_a \phi_a)^2 \,,$$

a renormalizeable theory in d = 4 when we assume that  $T_R$  obey a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra?

#### Is the QFT with

$$\mathcal{L} = ar{\psi}_{lpha} (\partial \!\!\!/ + g[T^a_R]^{lpha}_{eta} \phi_a) \psi^{eta} - rac{1}{2} \phi_a \partial^2_{\mu} \phi_a + \lambda (\phi_a \phi_a)^2 \,,$$

a renormalizeable theory in d = 4 when we assume that  $T_R$  obey a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra?

Lie algebra	Betti numbers
$A_r \sim SU(r+1)$	$2, 3, \ldots, r+1$
$B_r \sim SO(2r+1)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r$
$C_r \sim Sp(2r)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r$
$D_r \sim SO(2r)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r - 2, r$
$G_2$	2,6
$F_4$	2, 6, 8, 12
$E_6$	2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12
$E_7$	2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18
$E_8$	2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30



-

Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

4 A N

⇒ Just calculate the Zs and see what happens...  $Z_x = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta Z_x^{(n)}$ 

Ø 1-loop:

$$\delta Z_x^{(1)} = \sum_{i+j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{g^2}{(4\pi)^2}\right)^i \left(\frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2}\right)^j \frac{1}{\epsilon} N_{x,i,j}^{(1,1)}, \qquad N \in \mathbb{R}.$$

⇒ Just calculate the *Z*s and see what happens...  $Z_x = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta Z_x^{(n)}$ 

Ø 2-loop:

$$\delta Z_x^{(2)} = \sum_{i+j=2} \left( \frac{g^2}{(4\pi)^2} \right)^i \left( \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \right)^j \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} N_{x,i,j}^{(2,1)} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} N_{x,i,j}^{(2,2)} \right) , N \in \mathbb{R}$$

A B A B A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Just calculate the Zs and see what happens...  $Z_x = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta Z_x^{(n)}$ 



$$\delta Z_{\phi^4}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{g^2}{(4\pi)^2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \right)^{-1} N_{\phi^4,4,-1}^{(3,1)} + \cdots,$$
  
$$N_{\phi^4,4,-1}^{(3,1)} = N \cdot \log(\mu^2/M^2) + \dots.$$

 $\rightarrow$  Renormalization constant  $Z_{\phi^4}$  is non-local

 $\rightarrow$  Theory is not renormalizeable for a generic simple Lie algebra

• Origin of the  $N_{\phi^4,4,-2}^{(3,1)} + \sim d_{44}(R,R) \log(\mu^2/M^2)$  terms @ 3-loop:



• Origin of the  $N_{\phi^4,4,-2}^{(3,1)} + \sim d_{44}(R,R) \log(\mu^2/M^2)$  terms @ 3-loop:



Already at 1-loop color structure ~ d<sup>abcd</sup><sub>1</sub> divergent:



For simple Lie algebras which can make up a fully symmetric  $d_{\perp}^{abcd}$  the theory is not renormalizeable

For simple Lie algebras which can make up a fully symmetric  $d_{\perp}^{abcd}$  the theory is not renormalizeable

Lie algebra	Betti numbers
$A_r \sim SU(r+1)$	$2, 3, 4, \ldots, r+1$
$B_r \sim SO(2r+1)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r$
$C_r \sim Sp(2r)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r$
$D_r \sim SO(2r)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r - 2, r$
$G_2$	2,6
$F_4$	2, 6, 8, 12
$E_6$	2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12
$E_7$	2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18
$E_8$	2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

For simple Lie algebras which can make up a fully symmetric  $d_{\perp}^{abcd}$  the theory is not renormalizeable

Lie algebra	Betti numbers
$A_r \sim SU(r+1)$	$2, 3, 4, \ldots, r+1$
$B_r \sim SO(2r+1)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r$
$C_r \sim Sp(2r)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r$
$D_r \sim SO(2r)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r - 2, r$
$G_2$	2,6
$F_4$	2, 6, 8, 12
$E_6$	2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12
$E_7$	2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18
$E_8$	2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30

 $\bigcirc$  For all exceptional algebras the theory is renormalizeable

A B > A B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A

For simple Lie algebras which can make up a fully symmetric  $d_{abcd}^{abcd}$  the theory is not renormalizeable

	-
Lie algebra	Betti numbers
$A_r \sim SU(r+1)$	$2, 3, 4, \ldots, r+1$
$B_r \sim SO(2r+1)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r$
$C_r \sim Sp(2r)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r$
$D_r \sim SO(2r)$	$2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2r - 2, r$
$G_2$	2,6
$F_4$	2, 6, 8, 12
$E_6$	2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12
$E_7$	2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18
$E_8$	2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30

For all exceptional algebras the theory is renormalizeable For SU(N), Sp(N), SO(N) with N < 4, 4, 5 the theory is renormalizeable. "The number of independent fully symmetric tensors is  $\infty$  the rank of a simple Lie algebra"



**(2)** Can we fix Renormalizability for SU(4+), Sp(4+), SO(5+)?

Image: A math the state of t



Can we fix Renormalizability for SU(4+), Sp(4+), SO(5+)? Ves, we can!

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_{\alpha} (\partial \!\!\!/ + g[T_R^a]^{\alpha}_{\beta} \phi_a) \psi^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_a \partial^2_{\mu} \phi_a + \lambda (\phi_a \phi_a)^2 + \lambda_{\perp} d^{abcd}_{\perp} \phi_a \phi_b \phi_c \phi_d$$

• • • • • • • • • • • •



Can we fix Renormalizability for SU(4+), SP(4+), SO(5+)?
Yes, we can!

 $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_{\alpha} (\partial \!\!\!/ + g[T_R^a]^{\alpha}_{\beta} \phi_a) \psi^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_a \partial^2_{\mu} \phi_a + \lambda (\phi_a \phi_a)^2 + \lambda_{\perp} d^{abcd}_{\perp} \phi_a \phi_b \phi_c \phi_d \,.$ 

Calculate Zs in dep. of and for new coupling 2-loop:  $Z_{\phi^4}$  Non-trivial  $\log(\mu^2/M^2)$  cancellation  $3 \frac{1}{100} - 2 \frac{1}{100} - \frac{1}{100} + \frac{2}{N_A(N_A+1)} \frac{1}{100} = 0$ 



Can we fix Renormalizability for SU(4+), SP(4+), SO(5+)? Yes, we can!

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_{\alpha} (\partial \!\!\!/ + g[T_R^a]^{\alpha}_{\beta} \phi_a) \psi^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_a \partial^2_{\mu} \phi_a + \lambda (\phi_a \phi_a)^2 + \lambda_{\perp} d^{abcd}_{\perp} \phi_a \phi_b \phi_c \phi_d \,.$$





Can we fix Renormalizability for SU(4+), SP(4+), SO(5+)? Yes, we can!

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_{\alpha} (\partial \!\!\!/ + g[T_R^a]^{\alpha}_{\beta} \phi_a) \psi^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_a \partial^2_{\mu} \phi_a + \lambda (\phi_a \phi_a)^2 + \lambda_{\perp} d^{abcd}_{\perp} \phi_a \phi_b \phi_c \phi_d \,.$$



#### $\beta$ for Ising

Number of Fermion flavours = N,  $\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \rightarrow y$ ,  $\frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2} \rightarrow \lambda$  $\beta_y = -\epsilon y + (3+2N)y^2 + 24y\lambda(\lambda - y) - \left(\frac{9}{9} + 6N\right)y^3$  $+\frac{y}{64}\left(1152(7+5N)y^2\lambda+192(91-30N)y\lambda^2\right)$ +  $(912\zeta_3 - 697 + 2N(67 + 112N + 432\zeta_3))y^3$  $-13824\lambda^{3}$ ,  $\beta_{\rm N} = -\epsilon \lambda + 36\lambda^2 + 4Nv\lambda - Nv^2 + 4Nv^3 + 7Nv^2\lambda$  $-72Ny\lambda^2 - 816\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{32}(6912(145 + 96\zeta_3)\lambda^4)$  $+49536Ny\lambda^{3}-48N(72N-361-648\zeta_{3})y^{2}\lambda^{2}$  $+ 2N(1736N - 4395 - 1872\zeta_3)v^3\lambda$  $+N(5-628N-384\zeta_3)y^4),$ 

2-loop results [Rosenstein,Kovner,Yu'93] Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD) BG @ CMP

#### **Fixed Point Analysis**





HUB 2017 34/37

# Critical Exponents (Chiral Ising)

			$\eta_x = \gamma_x(y_*,\lambda_*)$
N = 2:	$\nu^{-1}$	$\approx$	$2 - 0.952\epsilon + 0.00723\epsilon^2 - 0.0949\epsilon^3$
	$\eta_\psi$	$\approx$	$0.0714\epsilon - 0.00671\epsilon^2 - 0.0243\epsilon^3$
	$\eta_{\phi}$	$\approx$	$0.571\epsilon + 0.124\epsilon^2 - 0.0278\epsilon^3$
N = 1 :	$\nu^{-1}$	$\approx$	$2 - 0.835\epsilon - 0.00571\epsilon^2 - 0.0603\epsilon^3$
	$\eta_\psi$	$\approx$	$0.1\epsilon + 0.0102\epsilon^2 - 0.033\epsilon^3$
	$\eta_{\phi}$	$\approx$	$0.4\epsilon + 0.102\epsilon^2 - 0.0632\epsilon^3$
N = 1/4:	$\nu^{-1}$	$\approx$	$2 - 0.571\epsilon - 0.0204\epsilon^2 + 0.024\epsilon^3$
	$\eta_\psi$	$\approx$	$0.143\epsilon + 0.0408\epsilon^2 - 0.048\epsilon^3$
	$\eta_{\phi}$	$\approx$	$0.143\epsilon + 0.0408\epsilon^2 - 0.048\epsilon^3$
N = 0:	$\nu^{-1}$	$\approx$	$2 - 0.333\epsilon - 0.117\epsilon^2 + 0.125\epsilon^3$
	$\eta_\psi$	$\approx$	$0.167\epsilon + 0.0478\epsilon^2 - 0.0469\epsilon^3$
	$\eta_{\phi}$	$\approx$	$0.0185\epsilon^2 + 0.0187\epsilon^3$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

# Critical Exponents (Chiral Ising)

N = 2	1/ u	$\eta_{\phi}$	$\eta_\psi$
this work (Padé $[2/1]$ )	1.048	0.672	0.0740
$(2+\epsilon)$ , $(\epsilon^4,$ Padé)[Gracey,Luthe,Schroder'16]	0.931	0.745	0.082
functional RG[Knorr'16]	0.994(2)	0.7765	0.0276
Monte Carlo[Chandrasekharan,Li'13]	1.20(1)	0.62(1)	0.38(1)
N = 1	1/ u	$\eta_{\phi}$	$\eta_\psi$
this work (Padé [2/1])	1.166	0.463	0.102
functional RG[Knorr'16]	1.075(4)	0.5506	0.0645
Monte Carlo[Li,Jiang, Yao'15]	1.30	0.45(3)	
N = 1/4	$1/\nu$	$\eta_{\phi}$	$\eta_\psi$
this work (Padé [2/1])	1.419	0.162	0.162
functional RG[Heilmann,ea'15]	1.408	0.180	0.180
conformal bootstrap[lliesiu,ea'16]		0.164	0.164

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨ

# Summary & Outlook

#### Summary



- QFTs are ETs
- If SUSY is not found at LHC one may take a closer look at condensed matter system "on a desk"
- Deep understanding of symmetries is required

# Summary & Outlook

#### Summary



- 😨 QFTs are ETs
  - If SUSY is not found at LHC one may take a closer look at condensed matter system "on a desk"
- 😵 Kinematics 🕂 Symmetry
- Deep understanding of symmetries is required

#### Outlook



Heisenberg



- More sophisticated RG analysis (large order behavior?)
- $Z_{m2}$  for GNY at 4-loop



#### XY-Model

#### To backup slides $\rightarrow$

Nikolai Zerf (ITP UHD)

(日) (四) (三) (三)

#### Superspace

$$\begin{split} d^{2}\theta &\equiv -\frac{1}{4}d\theta^{\alpha}d\theta^{\beta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \\ d^{2}\bar{\theta} &\equiv -\frac{1}{4}d\bar{\theta}^{\alpha}d\bar{\theta}^{\beta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \\ \theta^{2} &\equiv \theta^{\alpha}\theta_{\alpha} = \theta^{\alpha}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\theta^{\beta}, \\ \int d^{2}\theta d^{2}\bar{\theta} \,\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi &= i\bar{\psi}\partial\!\!\!/\psi + |\partial_{\mu}\phi|^{2} + |F|^{2}. \quad \text{kinetic terms} \\ \int d^{2}\theta \,\frac{h}{3}\Phi^{3} &= h\phi^{2}F + h\phi\psi^{T}i\sigma_{2}\psi. \quad \text{super potential terms} \end{split}$$

Auxiliary fields are eliminated using EQM:  $F = -h\phi^{*2}$ ,  $F^* = -h\phi^2$ .