

A Guided Tour through an NNLO Subtraction Scheme

Raoul Röntsch KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

HUMBOLDT UNIVERSITY BERLIN, 29 JUNE 2017

In collaboration with Fabrizio Caola and Kirill Melnikov, hep-ph/1702.01352

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Introduction

Factorization theorem:

$$d\sigma = \sum_{ij} \int dx_1 dx_2 f_i(x_1, \mu^2) f_j(x_2, \mu^2) d\hat{\sigma}_{ij}(\{p_i\}, \mu^2, x_1, x_2)$$

 $x_{1,2}$: momentum fractions

 $\{p_i\}$: external momenta

 $f_{i,j}$: parton distributions

 $\mu: \mathrm{ren./fact.}$ scale

 $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$: partonic cross section

Expand partonic cross section in α_s :

 $\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma} = \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}^{\mathrm{LO}} + \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}^{\mathrm{NLO}} + \mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}^{\mathrm{NNLO}} + \dots$

Higher order corrections contain:

- Real corrections → IR singularities after integration.
- Virtual corrections \rightarrow explicit IR singularities.
- Collinear subtractions → explicit IR singularities.

Goal: Extract and cancel all singularities *prior* to integration.

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Overview of subtraction schemes

- Solved at NLO (Catani-Seymour, Frixione-Kunszt-Signer, ...).
- At NNLO:

- Slicing:
$$\int |\mathcal{M}|^2 F_J d\phi_d = \int_0^{\delta} \left[|\mathcal{M}|^2 F_J d\phi_d \right]_{\text{s.c.}} + \int_{\delta}^1 |\mathcal{M}_J|^2 F_J d\phi_4 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$$

Born-like NLO+jet

- qT (Catani, Grazzini '07): VV, VH, HH
- N-jettiness (Gaunt et al '15; Boughezal et al '15): digamma, Vj, Hj, VH, single top
- Subtraction: $\int |\mathcal{M}|^2 F_J d\phi_d = \int (|\mathcal{M}_J|^2 F_J S) d\phi_4 + \int S d\phi_d$
 - Antenna (Gehrmann-de Ridder, Gehrmann, Glover '05, ...): dijet, Hj, Vj
 - FKS+sector decomposition (Czakon '10, '11; Boughezal, Petriello, Melnikov '12): ttbar, Hj, single top
 - Projection-to-Born (Cacciari et al '15): VBF, single top
 - CoLoRFulNNLO (Somogyi, Trocsanyi, Del Duca '05, ...): e+e-



The NNLO Revolution

- Slicing & subtraction tools highly successful: all 2 → 2 processes known at NNLO.
- BUT: room for improvement. Current subtraction schemes:
 - Are complicated.
 - Obscure the origin of the singularities.
 - Are process-dependent.
- Ideally:
 - Local.
 - Straightforward with clear origin of singularities.
 - Explicit (if possible, analytic) cancellation of poles.
 - Process-independent.
 - Allowing four-dimensional evaluation.



Residue-Improved Sector Decomposition

Residue-improved sector decomposition:

- [Czakon '10, '11; Boughezal, Melnikov, Petriello '12]
- Modification allowing four-dimensional calculation of matrix elements [Czakon, Heymes '14].
- Same strategy as FKS, + sectors to separate overlapping singularities.
- Expect simplification when recombining (as in FKS) not apparent in above formulations.
- I will present a simplified implementation:
 - Focus on gauge-invariant matrix elements \rightarrow independent treatment of soft and collinear singularities.
 - Easier recombination of sectors → explicit pole cancellation for different kinematic structures.



Outline

• NLO:

- Notation for limits.
- Subtraction procedure.
- Combining real, virtual and collinear subtraction contributions and cancelling poles.

• NNLO:

- Collinear subtraction, virtual-virtual, real-virtual contributions.
- Real-real contribution: extension of NLO ideas:
 - · Partitions and sectors.
 - Soft subtraction terms.
 - Collinear subtraction terms.
- Combining contributions and pole cancellation.
- Proof-of-principle calculation and comparison.



Outline (II)

Focus on $pp \to V + X$:

- Color singlet final state (Drell-Yann, diboson, HV).
- Extension to colored final states straightforward (but complicated).

Consider partonic channel $q\bar{q} \rightarrow V + n g$:

- Most complicated singular structure.
- Other partonic channels are simplifications of this.



Subtraction at NLO

$$d\hat{\sigma}^{\text{NLO}} = d\sigma^{\text{V}} + d\sigma^{\text{R}} + d\sigma^{\text{CV}}$$
Focus on real radiation – process $q\bar{q} \rightarrow V + g$:

$$d\sigma^{\text{R}} = \frac{1}{2s} \int [dg_4] F_{LM}(1, 2, 4) \equiv \langle F_{LM}(1, 2, 4) \rangle.$$

$$F_{LM}(1, 2, 4) = d\text{Lips}_V |\mathcal{M}(1, 2, 4, V)|^2 \mathcal{F}_{\text{kin}}(1, 2, 4, V).$$

$$[dg_4] = \frac{d^{d-1}p_4}{(2\pi)^d 2E_4} \theta(E_{\text{max}} - E_4)$$

$$\int_{\text{Integration in partonic CoM frame}} Arbitrarily large energy parameter}$$
Singular regions:

• $g_4 \rightarrow \text{soft.}$



• $g_4 \rightarrow$ collinear to either initial state parton.





Iterative subtraction

Define operators:

 $S_i A = \lim_{E_i \to 0} A \qquad C_{ij} A = \lim_{\rho_{ij} \to 0} A \qquad \rho_{ij} = 1 - \cos \theta_{ij}$

Rewrite as

$$\langle F_{LM}(1,2,4) \rangle = \langle S_4 F_{LM}(1,2,4) \rangle + \langle (C_{41} + C_{42})(I - S_4) F_{LM}(1,2,4) \rangle + \langle (I - C_{41} - C_{42})(I - S_4) F_{LM}(1,2,4) \rangle$$

- First term: soft gluon decouples completely \rightarrow need upper bound: E_{max} .
- Second term: collinear and soft+collinear gluon decouples partially or completely.
- Singularities made explicit by **integrating over decoupled gluon**.
- Third term: finite, can be integrated numerically in 4-dimensions.



Soft and soft+collinear limits

Soft limit: eikonal function

$$S_4 F_{\rm LM}(1,2,4) = g_{s,b}^2 \text{Eik}(1,2,4) F_{LM}(1,2) = g_{s,b}^2 2C_F \frac{p_1 \cdot p_2}{(p_1 \cdot p_4) (p_2 \cdot p_4)} F_{LM}(1,2)$$
$$= g_{s,b}^2 2C_F \frac{1}{E_4^2} \frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{14}\rho_{24}} F_{LM}(1,2).$$

Gluon decouples from F_{LM} , so collinear limits act on prefactor only:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{41}S_4F_{LM}(1,2,4) = g_{s,b}^2 2C_F \frac{1}{E_4^2} \frac{1}{\rho_{14}} F_{LM}(1,2), \\ C_{42}S_4F_{LM}(1,2,4) = g_{s,b}^2 2C_F \frac{1}{E_4^2} \frac{1}{\rho_{24}} F_{LM}(1,2). \\ \Rightarrow S_4(I - C_{41} - C_{42})F_{LM}(1,2,4) = g_{s,b}^2 2C_F \frac{1}{E_4^2} \left(\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{14}\rho_{24}} - \frac{1}{\rho_{14}} - \frac{1}{\rho_{24}}\right) F_{LM}(1,2) = 0. \\ & \text{using } \rho_{12} = 2, \ \rho_{24} = 2 - \rho_{14} \\ & \text{(for color singlet final state).} \end{aligned}$$

We only need to consider **collinear** limits $\langle (C_{41} + C_{42}) F_{LM}(1, 2, 4) \rangle$.

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Collinear limits

The collinear limit is

$$C_{41}F_{LM}(1,2,4) = \frac{g_{s,b}^2}{E_4^2\rho_{41}}(1-z)P_{qq}(z)\left(\frac{F_{LM}(z\cdot 1,2)}{z}\right).$$

$$z = 1 - E_4/E_1$$
$$P_{qq}(z) = P_{qq}^{(0)}(z) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

Gluonic angles decouple:

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}\cos\theta_{14} \left(\sin\theta_{14}\right)^{d-4} \frac{1}{\rho_{14}} = 2^{-2\epsilon} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}\eta_{14} (\eta_{14})^{-1-\epsilon} (1-\eta_{41})^{-\epsilon} = -\frac{2^{-2\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma^{2}(1-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}.$$
$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_{4}^{(d-2)}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} g_{s,b}^{2} = \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{2\pi} \frac{\mu^{2\epsilon} e^{\epsilon\gamma_{E}}}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \equiv [\alpha_{s}].$$
$$\eta_{ij} = \rho_{ij}/2$$

and changing $dE_4 \rightarrow dz$:

$$\langle C_{41} F_{LM}(1,2,4) \rangle = -[\alpha_s] \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} (2E_1)^{-2\epsilon} \int_{z_{\min}}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{(1-z)^{2\epsilon}} P_{qq}(z) \frac{F_{LM}(z\cdot 1,2)}{z} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} + \frac{1}{2}$$

But need enough energy to produce V: can extend integration region to 0.

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Recasting collinear limit

$$\langle C_{41}F_{LM}(1,2,4)\rangle = -[\alpha_s]\frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}(2E_1)^{-2\epsilon}\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{(1-z)^{2\epsilon}}P_{qq}(z)\frac{F_{LM}(z\cdot 1,2)}{z}$$

- Collinear pole explicit.
- Soft pole $z \rightarrow 1$ regulated.
- Convolution of splitting function with matrix element:
 - Should cancel against collinear subtraction.
 - Rewrite in terms of **plus-prescription**:

$$P_{qq}(z) = \frac{2C_F}{(1-z)} + P_{qq}^{\text{reg}}(z) \Rightarrow \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{(1-z)^{2\epsilon}} P_{qq}(z) G(z) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \left[\frac{2C_F}{(1-z)^{1+2\epsilon}} + (1-z)^{-2\epsilon} P_{qq}^{\text{reg}}(z) \right] G(z)$$
Singular as $z \to 1$

$$= -\frac{C_F}{\epsilon} G(1) + \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \left[\frac{2C_F}{(1-z)^{1+2\epsilon}} \left(G(z) - G(1) \right) + (1-z)^{-2\epsilon} P_{qq}^{\text{reg}}(z) G(z) \right]$$
Add & integrate Expand using plus-prescription Subtract

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Finalizing collinear subtractions

Introduce new splitting function $\mathcal{P}_{qq,R}(z) = \hat{P}_{qq}^{(0)}(z) + \epsilon \mathcal{P}_{qq,R}^{(\epsilon)}(z)$,

and write

$$\langle C_{41}F_{LM}(1,2,4)\rangle = -\frac{[\alpha_s]s^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} \times \left[-\left(\frac{C_F}{\epsilon} + \frac{3C_F}{2}\right) \left\langle F_{LM}(1,2) \right\rangle \right. \\ \left. + \int\limits_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \mathcal{P}_{qq,R}(z) \left\langle \frac{F_{LM}(z\cdot 1,2)}{z} \right\rangle \right].$$

$$\begin{split} C_{42} \mbox{ term proceeds analogously, so} & \hat{O}_{NLO} \equiv (I - S_4)(I - C_{41} - C_{42}) \\ 2s \cdot \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{R}} &= 2[\alpha_s]s^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{C_F}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{3C_F}{2\epsilon}\right) \frac{\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} \left\langle F_{LM}(1,2) \right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{O}_{\mathrm{NLO}}F_{LM}(1,2,4) \right\rangle \\ & - \frac{[\alpha_s]s^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \mathcal{P}_{qq,R}(z) \left\langle \frac{F_{LM}(z\cdot 1,2)}{z} + \frac{F_{LM}(1,z\cdot 2)}{z} \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Combining contributions & cancelling poles

$$2s \cdot \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{R}} = 2[\alpha_{s}]s^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{C_{F}}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{3C_{F}}{2\epsilon}\right) \frac{\Gamma^{2}(1-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} \langle F_{LM}(1,2) \rangle + \langle \hat{O}_{\mathrm{NLO}}F_{LM}(1,2,4) \rangle$$
$$-\frac{[\alpha_{s}]s^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma^{2}(1-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}z \mathcal{P}_{qq,R}(z) \left\langle \frac{F_{LM}(z\cdot1,2)}{z} + \frac{F_{LM}(1,z\cdot2)}{z} \right\rangle.$$

- Poles in first term cancel with virtual (extracted using [Catani '98]): $2s \cdot d\sigma^{V} = -2[\alpha_{s}]\cos(\pi\epsilon) \left(\frac{C_{F}}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{3C_{F}}{2\epsilon}\right) s^{-\epsilon} \langle F_{LM}(1,2) \rangle + \langle F_{LV}^{fin}(1,2) \rangle.$
- Poles in third term cancel with collinear subtraction:

$$2s \cdot \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{CV}} = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{2\pi\epsilon} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \; \hat{P}_{qq}^{(0)}(z) \left\langle \frac{F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, 2)}{z} + \frac{F_{LM}(1, z \cdot 2)}{z} \right\rangle.$$

• Cancellation occurs within each structure!

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Evaluation in four dimensions

After cancelling poles, we can take the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit and compute everything in four dimensions.

$$2s \cdot d\hat{\sigma}^{\text{NLO}} = \left\langle F_{LV}^{\text{fin}}(1,2) + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{2\pi} \left[\frac{2}{3} \pi^2 C_F F_{LM}(1,2) \right] \right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{O}_{\text{NLO}} F_{LM}(1,2,4) \right\rangle + \\ + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dz \left[\ln \frac{s}{\mu^2} \hat{P}_{qq}^{(0)}(z) - \mathcal{P}_{qq,R}^{(\epsilon)}(z) \right] \left\langle \frac{F_{LM}(z \cdot 1,2)}{z} + \frac{F_{LM}(1,z \cdot 2)}{z} \right\rangle.$$

Sum of:

- Lower particle-multiplicity terms, with or without convolutions with splitting functions.
- Real emission term, with singular configurations removed by iterated subtraction.
- Finite remainder of virtual corrections.



NNLO: Double-virtual Corrections

$$d\hat{\sigma}^{\text{NNLO}} = d\sigma^{\text{VV}} + d\sigma^{\text{RV}} + d\sigma^{\text{RR}} + d\sigma^{\text{CV}} + d\sigma^{\text{ren.}}$$

Double-virtual – two-loop $q\bar{q} \rightarrow V$. Pole structure following [Catani '98]:

$$d\sigma^{VV} = [\alpha_s]^2 f(s,\epsilon) \langle F_{LM}(1,2) \rangle + [\alpha_s] g(s,\epsilon) \langle F_{LV}^{fin}(1,2) \rangle + \langle F_{LV^2}^{fin}(1,2) \rangle + \langle F_{LVV}^{fin}(1,2) \rangle.$$

 $f(s,\epsilon), \ g(s,\epsilon): {\rm Poles} \sim 1/\epsilon^4 \ {\rm and} \ {\rm higher}.$

 $F_{LV^2}^{\text{fin}}(1,2)$: Finite part of 1-loop squared.

 $F_{LVV}^{\text{fin}}(1,2)$: Finite part of 2-loop.

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



NNLO: Real-virtual Corrections

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}^{\mathrm{NNLO}} = \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{VV}} + \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{RV}} + \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{RR}} + \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{CV}} + \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{ren.}}$$

Real-virtual – one loop $q\bar{q} \rightarrow V + g$.

- Same kinematics as NLO \rightarrow same subtraction strategy.
- Cancellation between soft and soft+collinear no longer manifest.

$$E_4^2 S_4 F_{LRV}(1,2,4) = 2C_F g_{s,b}^2 \left[\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{14}\rho_{24}} F_{LV}(1,2) - C_A[\alpha_s] \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\Gamma^5(1-\epsilon)\Gamma^3(1+\epsilon)}{\Gamma^2(1-2\epsilon)\Gamma(1+2\epsilon)} \left(\frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho_{14}\rho_{24}}\right)^{1+\epsilon} E_4^{-2\epsilon} 2^{-\epsilon} F_{LM}(1,2) \right]$$

- Soft gluon decouples.
- Integrating over energy requires an <u>upper bound</u>: provided by E_{\max} .



Real-virtual (II)

Taking collinear and soft+collinear limits and integrating, and making use of 1-loop pole structure:

$$\langle F_{LV}(1,2,4) \rangle = [\alpha_s]^2 s^{-2\epsilon} g_4(s,\epsilon) \langle F_{LM}(1,2) \rangle + [\alpha_s] s^{-\epsilon} g_2(s,\epsilon) \langle F_{LV}^{\text{fin}}(1,2) \rangle$$

$$+ [\alpha_s]^2 s^{-2\epsilon} g_3(s,\epsilon) \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \mathcal{P}_{qq,RV_1}(z) \left\langle \frac{F_{LM}(z\cdot 1,2) + F_{LM}(1,z\cdot 2)}{z} \right\rangle$$

$$+ [\alpha_s]^2 s^{-2\epsilon} g_1(s,\epsilon) \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \mathcal{P}_{qq,RV_2}(z) \left\langle \frac{F_{LV}^{\text{fin}}(z\cdot 1,2) + F_{LV}^{\text{fin}}(1,z\cdot 2)}{z} \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{NLO} F_{LV}^{\text{fin}}(1,2,4) \right\rangle + f(s,\epsilon) \left\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{NLO} F_{LM}(1,2,4) \right\rangle.$$

With functions $g_n(s,\epsilon) \sim 1/\epsilon^n$ $f(s,\epsilon) \sim 1/\epsilon^2$

- Convolutions of splitting functions with lower multiplicity terms.
- Terms with singular limits removed through iterative subtraction.



NNLO: Collinear subtraction

$$d\hat{\sigma}^{\text{NNLO}} = d\sigma^{\text{VV}} + d\sigma^{\text{RV}} + d\sigma^{\text{RR}} + d\sigma^{\text{CV}} + d\sigma^{\text{ren.}}$$

Collinear renormalization:

$$d\sigma^{\rm CV} = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{2\pi} \bigg[\Gamma_1 \otimes d\hat{\sigma}^{\rm NLO} + d\hat{\sigma}^{\rm NLO} \otimes \Gamma_1 \bigg] \\ - \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{2\pi}\right)^2 \bigg[\Gamma_1 \otimes d\hat{\sigma}^{\rm LO} \otimes \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \otimes d\hat{\sigma}^{\rm LO} + d\hat{\sigma}^{\rm LO} \otimes \Gamma_2 \bigg].$$

 $\Gamma_{1,2}$:(convolutions of) Altarelli-Parisi splitting functions.

More complicated, but well-understood:

- Double convolutions $\sim F_{LM}(xy \cdot 1, 2)$.
- Left-right convolutions $\sim F_{LM}(x \cdot 1, y \cdot 2)$.
- Work in CoM frame: particular care in convolutions involving $s^{-\epsilon}$.



NNLO: Real-real Corrections

$$d\hat{\sigma}^{\text{NNLO}} = d\sigma^{\text{VV}} + d\sigma^{\text{RV}} + d\sigma^{\text{RR}} + d\sigma^{\text{CV}} + d\sigma^{\text{ren.}}$$

Real-real corrections – process $q\bar{q} \rightarrow V + gg$.
 $2s \cdot d\sigma^{\text{RR}} = \frac{1}{2!} \int [dg_4] [dg_5] F_{LM}(1, 2, 4, 5).$

Singularity structure much more complicated:

- g_4 or $g_5 \rightarrow$ soft.
- g_4 or $g_5 \rightarrow$ collinear to initial state partons.
- g_4 or $g_5 \rightarrow$ collinear to each other.
- Combination of the above can approach each limit in different ways!

Separating the singularities is the name of the game!



Treatment of real-real singularities

• Step 0: New limit operators.

$$\mathcal{S}A = \lim_{E_4, E_5 \to 0} A$$
, at fixed E_5/E_4 ,

 $C_i A = \lim_{\rho_{4i}, \rho_{5i} \to 0} A$, with non vanishing $\rho_{4i}/\rho_{5i}, \rho_{45}/\rho_{4i}, \rho_{45}/\rho_{5i}$,

and recall $S_i A = \lim_{E_i \to 0} A$ $C_{ij} A = \lim_{\rho_{ij} \to 0} A$.

• Step 1: Order gluon energies $E_4 > E_5$.

$$2s \cdot \mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{RR}} = \int [\mathrm{d}g_4] [\mathrm{d}g_5] \theta(E_4 - E_5) F_{LM}(1, 2, 4)$$
$$\equiv \langle F_{LM}(1, 2, 4, 5) \rangle.$$

- Gluon energies bounded by E_{\max} .
- Energies defined in CoM frame.



Soft singularities

<u>RECALL</u>: we are dealing with gauge-invariant matrix elements (as opposed to individual Feynman diagrams) – can regulate soft and collinear singularities independently.

• Step 2: Regulate the soft singularities:

 $\langle F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \rangle = \langle \mathscr{S}F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \rangle + \langle S_5(I - \mathscr{S})F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \rangle + \langle (I - S_5)(I - \mathscr{S})F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \rangle.$

- First term: both g_4 and g_5 soft.
- Second term: g_5 soft, soft singularities in g_4 are regulated.
- Third term: regulated against all soft singularities.
- All three terms contain (overlapping) collinear singularities.



Phase-space partitioning

Step 3: Introduce phase-space partitions

$$1 = w^{14,15} + w^{24,25} + w^{14,25} + w^{15,24}$$

with

$$C_{42}w^{14,15} = C_{52}w^{14,15} = 0 \implies w^{14,15} \text{ contains } C_{41}, C_{51}, C_{45}$$

$$C_{41}w^{24,25} = C_{51}w^{24,25} = 0 \qquad w^{24,25} \text{ contains } C_{42}, C_{52}, C_{45}$$
Triple collinear
partition
$$C_{42}w^{14,25} = C_{51}w^{14,25} = C_{45}w^{14,25} = 0 \implies w^{14,25} \text{ contains } C_{41}, C_{52}$$

$$C_{41}w^{15,24} = C_{52}w^{15,24} = C_{45}w^{15,24} = 0 \implies w^{14,25} \text{ contains } C_{42}, C_{51}$$
Double collinear
$$u^{14,25} = C_{51}w^{14,25} = C_{45}w^{15,24} = 0$$

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Sector Decomposition

• Step 4: Sector decomposition:

- Triple collinear sectors still have **overlapping** singularities.
- Define angular ordering to separate singularities.

$$1 = \theta \left(\eta_{51} < \frac{\eta_{41}}{2} \right) + \theta \left(\frac{\eta_{41}}{2} < \eta_{51} < \eta_{41} \right) \qquad \eta_{ij} = \rho_{ij}/2 + \theta \left(\eta_{41} < \frac{\eta_{51}}{2} \right) + \theta \left(\frac{\eta_{51}}{2} < \eta_{41} < \eta_{51} \right) \equiv \theta^{(a)} + \theta^{(b)} + \theta^{(c)} + \theta^{(d)}.$$

• Thus the limits are $\theta^{(a)}: C_{51}$ $\theta^{(b)}: C_{45}$ $\theta^{(c)}: C_{41}$ $\theta^{(d)}: C_{45}$

Sectors *a*,*c* and *b*,*d* same to $4 \leftrightarrow 5$, but recall <u>energy ordering</u>.

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Removing collinear singularities

Then we can write soft regulated term as

$$\langle (I - S_5)(I - \mathscr{S})F_{LM}(1, 2, 4, 5) \rangle = \langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_s}(1, 2, 4, 5) \rangle + \langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_t}(1, 2, 4, 5) \rangle + \langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_r}(1, 2, 4, 5) \rangle,$$

with

$$\langle F_{LM}^{s_{r}c_{r}}(1,2,4,5) \rangle = \sum_{(ij)\in dc} \left\langle \left[I - \mathscr{S}\right] \left[I - S_{5}\right] \left[(I - C_{5j})(I - C_{4i})\right] [\mathrm{d}g_{4}] [\mathrm{d}g_{5}] w^{i4,j5} F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{i\in tc} \left\langle \left[I - \mathscr{S}\right] \left[I - S_{5}\right] \left[\theta^{(a)} \left[I - \mathscr{C}_{i}\right] \left[I - C_{5i}\right] + \theta^{(b)} \left[I - \mathscr{C}_{i}\right] \left[I - C_{45}\right] \right]$$

$$+ \theta^{(c)} \left[I - \mathscr{C}_{i}\right] \left[I - C_{4i}\right] + \theta^{(d)} \left[I - \mathscr{C}_{i}\right] \left[I - C_{45}\right] \right] [\mathrm{d}g_{4}] [\mathrm{d}g_{5}] w^{i4,i5}$$

$$\times F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle.$$

- All singularities removed through iterated subtractions evaluated in 4dimensions.
- Only term involving fully-resolved matrix element $F_{LM}(1, 2, 4, 5)$.

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Removing collinear singularities

$$\langle (I - S_5)(I - \mathscr{S})F_{LM}(1, 2, 4, 5) \rangle = \langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_s}(1, 2, 4, 5) \rangle + \langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_t}(1, 2, 4, 5) \rangle + \langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_r}(1, 2, 4, 5) \rangle.$$

Remaining two terms contain singularities:

$$\langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_s} \rangle = \sum_{(ij) \in dc} \left\langle \left[I - \mathcal{S} \right] \left[I - S_5 \right] \left[C_{4i} [\mathrm{d}g_4] + C_{5j} [\mathrm{d}g_5] \right] w^{i4,j5} F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{i \in tc} \left\langle \left[I - \mathcal{S} \right] \left[I - S_5 \right] \left[\theta^{(a)} C_{5i} + \theta^{(b)} C_{45} + \theta^{(c)} C_{4i} + \theta^{(d)} C_{45} \right] \right.$$

$$\times \left[\mathrm{d}g_4 \right] [\mathrm{d}g_5] w^{i4,i5} F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle.$$

- Soft-regulated single-collinear subtraction.
- Partitioning factors and sectors: **one collinear singularity** in each term.



Removing collinear singularites

$$\left\langle (I - S_5)(I - \mathscr{S})F_{LM}(1, 2, 4, 5) \right\rangle = \left\langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_s}(1, 2, 4, 5) \right\rangle + \left\langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_t}(1, 2, 4, 5) \right\rangle + \left\langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_r}(1, 2, 4, 5) \right\rangle$$

and

$$\langle F_{LM}^{s_{r}c_{t}}(1,2,4,5) \rangle = -\sum_{(ij)\in dc} \left\langle \left[I - \mathcal{S}\right] \left[I - S_{5}\right] C_{4i}C_{5j}[\mathrm{d}g_{4}][\mathrm{d}g_{5}]w^{i4,j5}F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle + \sum_{i\in tc} \left\langle \left[I - \mathcal{S}\right] \left[I - S_{5}\right] \left[\theta^{(a)}\mathcal{C}_{i}\left[I - C_{5i}\right] + \theta^{(b)}\mathcal{C}_{i}\left[I - C_{45}\right] + \theta^{(c)}\mathcal{C}_{i}\left[I - C_{4i}\right] + \theta^{(d)}\mathcal{C}_{i}\left[I - C_{45}\right] \right] [\mathrm{d}g_{4}][\mathrm{d}g_{5}]w^{i4,i5}F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle.$$

• Triple-collinear subtraction – all other singularities regulated.

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Treating singular limits

We have four singular subtraction terms:

 $\langle \mathcal{S}F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \rangle \quad \langle S_5(I-\mathcal{S})F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \rangle \quad \langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_s}(1,2,4,5) \rangle \quad \langle F_{LM}^{s_r c_t}(1,2,4,5) \rangle$

We know how to treat them:

- Gluon(s) decouple partially or completely.
- Decouple completely:
 - Integrate over gluonic angles and energy.
- Decouple partially:
 - Integrate over gluonic angles.
 - Integral(s) over energy \rightarrow integrals over splitting function in *z*.
- Results in **lower particle multiplicity terms** convoluted with (new) splitting functions.



Soft subtraction terms

Double soft subtraction: $\langle SF_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \rangle$

• Both gluons decouple: $SF_{LM}(1,2,4,5) = g_{s,b}^4 \operatorname{Eik}(1,2,4,5) F_{LM}(1,2).$

Double eikonal function

- Overall energy factorizes \rightarrow integrand *independent* of partonic energy.
- Integral is **constant** for color-singlet production.
- Abelian contribution: product of NLO structures.
- Non-abelian: more complicated.
- Integrate over relative energies and over gluonic angles numerically.

$$\langle \mathscr{S}F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \rangle = [\alpha_s]^2 \langle E_{\max}^{-4\epsilon} F_{LM}(1,2) \rangle \left(\frac{c_4}{\epsilon^4} + \frac{c_3}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{c_2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{c_1}{\epsilon} + c_0 \right).$$

 c_i : Constants for color-singlet production

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Single-soft subtraction term

Single-soft subtraction: $\langle (I - S) S_5 F_{LM}(1, 2, 4, 5) \rangle$

• Gluon 5 decouples – integrate over it:

 $\langle (I-\mathcal{S})S_5F_{LM}(1,2,4,5)\rangle = \frac{[\alpha_s]}{\epsilon^2} \langle E_4^{-2\epsilon} f(\rho_{12},\rho_{14},\rho_{24},\epsilon) \ (I-S_4)F_{LM}(1,2,4)\rangle.$

- This term is not integrable: contains NLO-like collinear singularities.
- Regulate the singularities <u>as done at NLO:</u>

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I - \mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} S_5 F_{LM}(1, 2, 4, 5) \right\rangle = \frac{\lfloor \alpha_s \rfloor}{\epsilon^2} \left\langle E_4^{-2\epsilon} f(\rho_{12}, \rho_{14}, \rho_{24}, \epsilon) \right. \\ \left. \times \left[I - C_{41} - C_{42} \right] \left[I - S_4 \right] F_{LM}(1, 2, 4) \right\rangle \\ \left. - \frac{[\alpha_s]^2 s^{-2\epsilon}}{\epsilon^3} f(\epsilon) \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \mathcal{P}_{qq,RR_1}(z) \left\langle \frac{F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, 2) + F_{LM}(1, z \cdot 2)}{z} \right\rangle$$

- First term: Regulated through iterative subtractions.
- Second term: LO matrix element convoluted with splitting function.

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Collinear subtractions

General structure: splitting functions with **explicit poles** convoluted with lower multiplicity terms:

 $\begin{array}{ll} F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, 2, 4) & F_{LM}(1, z \cdot 2, 4) & F_{LM}(1, 2, 4) \\ F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, 2) & F_{LM}(1, z \cdot 2) & F_{LM}(1, 2) \end{array}$

Further singularities regulated →

$$\left\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{NLO} F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, 2, 4) \right\rangle \qquad \left\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{NLO} F_{LM}(1, z \cdot 2, 4) \right\rangle \qquad \left\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{NLO} F_{LM}(1, 2, 4) \right\rangle \\ \left\langle F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, \overline{z} \cdot 2) \right\rangle \qquad \left\langle F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, 2) \right\rangle \qquad \left\langle F_{LM}(1, z \cdot 2) \right\rangle \qquad \left\langle F_{LM}(1, 2) \right\rangle$$

- At NLO, pole cancellation achieved in *each structure*.
- Recombine structures from different sectors/partitions.



Double-collinear partition

In single-collinear subtraction:

$$DC = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I - S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I - S_5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (C_{41}[dg_4] + C_{52}[dg_5]) w^{14,25} + (C_{42}[dg_4] + C_{51}[dg_5]) w^{24,15} \end{bmatrix} \times F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle.$$

Limit acts on phase space!

Consider one term: $\langle [I - S_5] C_{41} [dg_4] w^{14,25} F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \rangle$:

- Write $C_{41}w^{14,25} = \tilde{w}_{4||1}^{14,25}$ independent of gluon 4.
- Soft & collinear limits commute:

 $\mathcal{S}(I-S_5)C_{41}F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \sim \mathcal{S}F_{LM}(1-4,2,5) - \mathcal{S}S_5F_{LM}(1-4,2,5) = 0.$

• Limit reduces to $C_{41}(I-S_5)F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) = \frac{g_{s,b}^2}{E_4^2\rho_{41}}\mathcal{P}_{qq}(z)\left[I-S_5\right]F_{LM}(z\cdot 1,2,5).$

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Double-collinear partition

Limit acting on phase space: $C_{41} \int_{0}^{1} d\eta_{14} \left(\eta_{14}(1-\eta_{41})\right)^{-\epsilon} \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} d\eta_{14} (\eta_{14})^{-\epsilon}$ Angular integration is then: $2^{(1-2\epsilon)} \int_{0}^{1} d\eta_{14} \eta_{14}^{-\epsilon} \frac{1}{2\eta_{41}} = -\frac{2^{-2\epsilon}}{\epsilon}.$

Limits of integration: $z = 1 - E_4/E_1$ and $E_4 > E_5 \Rightarrow z < 1 - E_5/E_1 \equiv z_{max}(E_5)$. Find:

$$\left\langle \left[I - \mathscr{S} \right] \left[I - S_5 \right] C_{41} [\mathrm{d}g_4] w^{14,25} F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle$$

$$= -\frac{[\alpha_s] s^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \int_{z_{\min}}^{z_{\max}(E_5)} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{(1-z)^{1+2\epsilon}} \mathcal{P}_{qq}(z) \left\langle \tilde{w}_{4||1}^{14,25} \left[I - S_5 \right] F_{LM}(z \cdot 1,2,5) \right\rangle.$$

• Lower limit can be extended to 0, but upper limit cannot be extended to 1.

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Double-collinear partition

$$DC = \left\langle \left[I - \mathcal{S} \right] \left[I - S_5 \right] \left[(C_{41}[dg_4] + C_{52}[dg_5]) w^{14,25} + (C_{42}[dg_4] + C_{51}[dg_5]) w^{24,15} \right] \times F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle.$$

Now consider the term $\langle [I - S_5] C_{51} [dg_5] w^{24,15} F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \rangle$:

- Proceeds analogously, but with:
 - $z = 1 E_5/E_1$ and $E_4 > E_5 \Rightarrow z > 1 E_4/E_1 \equiv z_{\min}(E_4)$.
 - Collinear and soft limit *both* act on gluon 5

 \rightarrow different splitting function to include soft subtraction.

Get

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I - \mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I - S_5 \end{bmatrix} C_{51} [\mathrm{d}g_5] w^{24,15} F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle$$

$$= -\frac{[\alpha_s] s^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \int_{z_{\min}(E_4)}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{(1-z)^{1+2\epsilon}} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{qq}^{(-)}(z) \left\langle \tilde{w}_{5||1}^{24,15} F_{LM}(z\cdot 1,2,4) \right\rangle.$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{qq}^{(-)}f(z) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{qq}(z)f(z) - 2C_F f(1)$$

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017



Combining partitions

Take first double collinear term and **rename** the resolved gluon 4:

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I - \mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I - S_5 \end{bmatrix} C_{41} [\mathrm{d}g_4] w^{14,25} F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{[\alpha_s] s^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{z_{\max}(E_4)} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{(1-z)^{1+2\epsilon}} \mathcal{P}_{qq}(z) \left\langle \tilde{w}_{5||1}^{15,24} \begin{bmatrix} I - S_4 \end{bmatrix} F_{LM}(z \cdot 1,2,4) \right\rangle. \\ \\ \text{Using } z_{\max}(E_4) &= 1 - E_4/E_1 = z_{\min}(E_4) \text{ combine with second term:} \\ \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} I - \mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I - S_5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{41} [\mathrm{d}g_4] w^{14,25} + C_{51} [\mathrm{d}g_4] w^{15,24} F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{[\alpha_s] s^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{(1-z)^{1+2\epsilon}} \left\langle \tilde{w}_{5||1}^{15,24} \left(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{qq}^{(-)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} I - S_4 \end{bmatrix} F_{LM}(z \cdot 1,2,4) + \theta(z_4 - z) \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{qq}^{(-)}(z) S_4 F_{LM}(z \cdot 1,2,4) \right) \end{split}$$

Similar simplifications on combining terms from **double** & **triple** collinear partitions.

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017 Raoul Röntsch (KIT) A Guided Tour through an NNLO Subtraction Scheme $\rangle.$



Triple-collinear subtraction term

Terms with double-unresolved collinear limits:

$$\langle F_{LM}^{s_{r}c_{t}} \rangle = -\sum_{(ij)\in dc} \left\langle \left[I - \mathscr{S} \right] \left[I - S_{5} \right] C_{4i} C_{5j} [\mathrm{d}g_{4}] [\mathrm{d}g_{5}] w^{i4,j5} F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{i\in tc} \left\langle \left[I - \mathscr{S} \right] \left[I - S_{5} \right] \left[\theta^{(a)} \mathscr{C}_{i} \left[I - C_{5i} \right] + \theta^{(b)} \mathscr{C}_{i} \left[I - C_{45} \right] \right]$$

$$+ \theta^{(c)} \mathscr{C}_{i} \left[I - C_{4i} \right] + \theta^{(d)} \mathscr{C}_{i} \left[I - C_{45} \right] \left[\mathrm{d}g_{4} \right] [\mathrm{d}g_{5}] w^{i4,i5} F_{LM}(1,2,4,5) \right\rangle$$

- For triple collinear sectors, limits have complicated triple collinear splitting function:
 - Integration is non-trivial.
 - Expand the *integrand* in ϵ .
 - Evaluate numerically.
 - Produces $1/\epsilon\,$ pole & finite term.



Double-real cross section: recap

We have now written complete double-real cross section as:

- Splitting functions convoluted with LO matrix elements – including explicit $1/\epsilon^4$ (and higher) poles.

 $\langle F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, \overline{z} \cdot 2) \rangle, \langle F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, 2) \rangle, \langle F_{LM}(1, z \cdot 2) \rangle, \langle F_{LM}(1, 2) \rangle$

• Splitting functions convoluted with NLO matrix elements, regulated by iterative subtraction – including explicit $1/\epsilon^2$ (and higher) poles.

 $\langle \mathcal{O}_{NLO}F_{LM}(z\cdot 1,2,4)\rangle, \langle \mathcal{O}_{NLO}F_{LM}(1,z\cdot 2,4)\rangle, \langle \mathcal{O}_{NLO}F_{LM}(1,2,4)\rangle$

- NNLO matrix elements, regulated by iterative subtraction finite.
- All singularities made explicit.
- Evaluate in four dimensions.



Pole cancellation

- Combine poles from real-real, real-virtual, virtual-virtual, collinear subtraction.
- Poles *must* cancel for each structure F_{LM} :
 - ✓ $\langle \mathcal{O}_{NLO}F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, 2, 4) \rangle$, $\langle \mathcal{O}_{NLO}F_{LM}(1, z \cdot 2, 4) \rangle$, $\langle \mathcal{O}_{NLO}F_{LM}(1, 2, 4) \rangle$ cancel analytically.
 - $\langle F_{LV}^{\text{fin}}(1,2) \rangle$ cancels *analytically*.
 - ✓ $\langle F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, \overline{z} \cdot 2) \rangle$, $\langle F_{LM}(z \cdot 1, 2) \rangle$, $\langle F_{LM}(1, z \cdot 2) \rangle$, $\langle F_{LM}(1, 2) \rangle$ cancels *numerically* (double-soft and triple-collinear computed numerically).



Proof-of-principle

- Calculate $pp \to \gamma^* + X \to e^+e^- + X$ to NNLO (using $q\bar{q} \to \gamma^* + ng$ channel only).
- Lepton pairs with invariant mass $50 \text{ GeV} \le Q \le 350 \text{ GeV}.$
- Extract results from [Hamberg, Matsuura, van Neerven '91] to compare (analytic in *Q*).
- NNLO contributions.

$$d\sigma^{\text{NNLO}} = 14.471(4) \text{ pb}$$

 $d\sigma^{\text{NNLO}}_{\text{analytic}} = 14.470 \text{ pb}$

- Sub per-mille agreement in cross sections.
- Per-mille to percent agreement across 5 orders of magnitude in Q.





Differential distributions (I)



O(10 CPU hours) runtime

O(100 CPU hours) runtime

- Lepton rapidity.
- O(10 CPU hours): percent-level bin-to-bin fluctuations.
- O(100 CPU hours): per-mille bin-to-bin fluctuations.



Differential distributions (II)



- Lepton transverse momentum.
- O(100 CPU hours): percent-level bin-to-bin fluctuations.
- Delicate observable: receives contributions from large range of invariant masses.
 - Improves once introduce *Z* boson propagator.
 - Competitive with state-of-the-art NNLO codes.



Other partonic channel

- Other partonic channels (qg, gq, gg, qq → qq) follow same strategy, but fewer limits.
- All calculated within this approach for DY.
 - Similar agreement with analytic results, including for numerically tiny channels.
- Extension to different color singlets a work in progress, requires:
 - Amplitudes.
 - Phase space for color singlet.



Summary

- Modification of residue-improved sector decomposition method of handling NNLO subtraction.
- Characterized by decoupling of soft and collinear limits.
- Develop iterative subtraction procedure:
 - Manifestly regulated finite term.
 - Integrated subtraction terms: convolutions of splitting function with explicit poles with lower multiplicity processes.
 - Pole cancellation independent of matrix elements.
- Process independent (colorless final state).
- Tested in dilepton production through virtual photon for all partonic channels:
 - Excellent agreement with analytic results.
- Future work:
 - Efficient implementation in numerical integration.
 - Extension to colored final states.
 - Include alpha-parameters.

- ...



End of tour



Thank you and questions?

Humboldt University Berlin 29 June 2017