

Renormalisation circumvents Haag's theorem

Lutz Klaczynski, HU Berlin

Theory seminar DESY Zeuthen, 31.03.2016

Outline

- 1 Some no-go results & canonical quantum fields
 - Sharp-spacetime quantum fields
 - Interaction picture
 - Theorems by Powers & Baumann (CCR/CAR)
 - Schrader's result
- 2 Axiomatics & Haag's theorem
 - Wightman axioms
 - Haag vs. Gell-Mann & Low
 - Haag's theorem for nontrivial spin
- 3 Renormalisation bypasses Haag's theorem
 - Renormalisation
 - Mass shift wrecks unitary equivalence
 - Conclusion

The quest for understanding canonical quantum fields

- 1 Constructive approaches by Glimm & Jaffe¹: $d \leq 3$
- 2 Axiomatic quantum field theory by Wightman & Gårding² :
 - axioms to construe quantum fields in terms of operator theory
 - hard work, some results (eg PCT, spin-statistics theorem)
 - interesting: triviality results (no-go theorems)
- 3 other axiomatic approaches, eg algebraic quantum field theory

General form of no-go theorems

ϕ quantum field with properties so-and-so $\Rightarrow \phi$ is trivial.

3 forms of triviality

(1) ϕ free, (2) $\phi = c\mathbb{I}$ or (3) $\phi = 0$

¹J.Glimm, A.Jaffe, Springer (1981)

²A. S. Wightman, L.Gårding, Arkiv för Fysik, 28, 129 - 184 (1964)

Triviality of sharp-spacetime fields

Theorem (Wightman, 1964)

Let $\varphi(x)$ be a Poincaré-covariant Hermitian scalar field, that is,

$$U(a, \Lambda)\varphi(x)U(a, \Lambda)^\dagger = \varphi(\Lambda x + a)$$

and suppose it is a well-defined operator with vacuum Ω_0 in its domain. Then there is a constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\varphi(x)\Omega_0 = \sqrt{c}\Omega_0 \quad \text{thus} \quad \langle \Omega_0 | \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n) \Omega_0 \rangle = c^{n/2}.$$

Canonical computation

Free canonical field

$$\varphi(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}} [e^{-ip \cdot x} a(\mathbf{p}) + e^{ip \cdot x} a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})].$$

QUESTION: $\|\varphi(x)\Omega_0\| = ?$

ANSWER: unphysical question, result:

$$\|\varphi(x)\Omega_0\|^2 = \langle \Omega_0 | \varphi(x) \varphi(x) | \Omega_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}} = \infty$$

What to make of it?

- 1 Implement Heisenberg's uncertainty:

$$\varphi(t, f) = \int d^3x f(\mathbf{x})\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}),$$

free fields smoothed out in space, then

$$\|\varphi(t, f)\Omega_0\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{|f(\mathbf{p})|^2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}} < \infty.$$

- 2 Too strong an assumption: $x \mapsto \langle \Psi | U(x, \mathbb{I}) \Phi \rangle$ continuous for all state vectors $\Psi, \Phi \in \mathfrak{H}$, must be weakened.

Expedient: operator-valued distributions

- 1 Smearing in both space and time such that

$$f \mapsto \langle \Psi | \varphi(f) \Phi \rangle \quad \Psi, \Phi \in \mathfrak{H},$$

is distribution on Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(M)$.

- 2 Poincaré covariance: for all f Schwartz

$$U(a, \Lambda) \varphi(f) U(a, \Lambda)^\dagger = \varphi(\{a, \Lambda\}f),$$

where $(\{a, \Lambda\}f)(x) = f(\Lambda^{-1}(x - a))$.

- 3 Sharp-time field

$$\varphi(t, f) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi(\delta_t^\epsilon \otimes f)$$

if existent, $\{\delta_t^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon > 0}$ Dirac family centred at $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Interaction picture Hamiltonian

Wightman, 1967

Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + H_{\text{int}}$, where $H_{\text{int}} = \int d^3x \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{x})$. Then

$$H_I(t) = e^{iH_0 t} H_{\text{int}} e^{-iH_0 t} = \int d^3x e^{iH_0 t} \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-iH_0 t}$$

entails $\|H_I(t)\Omega_0\| = 0$ for all t , thus $H_I(t)\Omega_0 = 0$.

Proof. By $e^{-iH_0 t}\Omega_0 = \Omega_0$ and translational invariance one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_I(t)\Omega_0\|^2 &= \int d^3x \int d^3y \langle \Omega_0 | \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{y}) \Omega_0 \rangle \\ &= \int d^3x \int d^3y \langle \Omega_0 | \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}(0) \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{y}) \Omega_0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Response: simply vacuum diagrams

$\|H_I(t)\Omega_0\|$ not of interest, yet in

Vacuum expectation of Dyson's series

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Omega_0 | S \Omega_0 \rangle &= \langle \Omega_0 | T \{ e^{-i \int dt H_I(t)} \} \Omega_0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int dt_1 \dots \int dt_n \langle \Omega_0 | T \{ H_I(t_1) \dots H_I(t_n) \} \Omega_0 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

there one finds

$$\int dt \int ds \langle \Omega_0 | T \{ H_I(t) H_I(s) \} \Omega_0 \rangle = \text{vacuum diagrams}$$

but cancel out in Gell-Mann-Low formula.

Canonical (anti)commutation relations (CCR/CAR)

Triviality of fields obeying CCR/CAR

Theorem (Baumann): scalar field $\varphi(t, f)$ in $d \geq 5$

Nontrivial commutator given by

$$[\varphi(t, f), \partial_t \varphi(t, g)] = i(f, g) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi(t, f) \text{ free}$$

Weyl form of CCR: $d \geq 4$ (Sinha).

Theorem (Powers): Dirac field $\psi(t, f)$ in $d \geq 3$

Nontrivial anticommutator given by

$$\{\psi(t, f), \psi^\dagger(t, g)\} = i(f, g) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \psi(t, f) \text{ free.}$$

Response: so be it.

Euclidean version of Haag's theorem

Theorem (Schrader, 1974)

$d\mu_0(\varphi)$ Gaussian measure on distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$, interaction term

$$V_\ell(\lambda, \varphi) = \lambda \int_{B_\ell} d^2x : P(\varphi(x)) :$$

P bounded normalised polynomial, $B_\ell = [-\ell/2, \ell/2]^2$ and

$$d\mu_{\ell, \lambda}(\varphi) = e^{-[V_\ell(\lambda, \varphi) - E_\ell(\lambda)]} d\mu_0(\varphi)$$

with $e^{-E_\ell(\lambda)} = \int e^{-V_\ell(\lambda, \varphi)} d\mu_0(\varphi)$. Then $d\mu_{\infty, \lambda}$ exists but

$d\mu_0$ and $d\mu_{\infty, \lambda}$ have mutually disjoint support.

Wightman framework: axioms (scalar field)

Axiom 0 (Relativistic Hilbert space) Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , strongly continuous unitary representation $(a, \Lambda) \mapsto U(a, \Lambda)$ of the connected Poincaré group, unique vacuum $\Omega_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$

$$U(a, \Lambda)\Omega_0 = \Omega_0 \quad \forall (a, \Lambda).$$

Axiom I (Spectral condition) generator of translations P_μ has spectrum in forward lightcone $\sigma(P) \subset \overline{V}_+$.

Axiom II (Quantum field)

Poincaré-covariant operator family $\{\varphi(f)\}_{f \in \mathcal{S}(M)}$, common dense and Poincaré-stable domain $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{H}$, generate dense subspace $\mathfrak{D}_0 = \mathbb{C}[\varphi(f) : f \in \mathcal{S}(M)]\Omega_0 \subset \mathfrak{H}$.

Axiom III (Locality) $[\varphi(f), \varphi(g)] = 0$
if f, g have spacelike-separated support.

Haag's theorem

Haag's theorem (Wightman, Jost, Schroer, Reeh, Schlieder)

- 1 $\varphi(t, f)$ and $\varphi_0(t, f)$ sharp-time Wightman quantum fields of mass $m > 0$ with Hilbert spaces \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{H}_0 , respectively. In any spacetime dimension $d \geq 2$.
- 2 Suppose at time t there is a unitary intertwiner $V: \mathfrak{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ such that

$$\varphi(t, f) = V\varphi_0(t, f)V^{-1}.$$

Then if $\varphi_0(t, f)$ is free, so is $\varphi(t, f)$.

Proof of Haag's theorem part I (sketch)

1. First prove intertwiner relates vacua: $V\Omega_0 = \Omega$. Then follows

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Omega_0 | \varphi_0(t, f) \varphi_0(t, h) \Omega_0 \rangle &= \langle \Omega_0 | V^{-1} \varphi(t, f) V V^{-1} \varphi(t, h) V \Omega_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Omega | \varphi(t, f) \varphi(t, h) \Omega \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

2. This implies

$$\langle \Omega_0 | \varphi_0(t, \mathbf{x}) \varphi_0(t, \mathbf{y}) \Omega_0 \rangle = \langle \Omega | \varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) \varphi(t, \mathbf{y}) \Omega \rangle$$

in the sense of distributions, ie

$$\langle \Omega | \varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) \varphi(t, \mathbf{y}) \Omega \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}}.$$

Proof of Haag's theorem part II (sketch)

3. Use Poincaré covariance to show

$$\langle \Omega | \varphi(x) \varphi(y) \Omega \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{ip \cdot (x-y)}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}}.$$

for spacelike distances $(x - y)^2 < 0$.

4. Technical (edge of the wedge theorem, ...): then for all $x - y \in M$.
5. Employ JOST-SCHROER THEOREM :
 φ has free-field 2-point function
 $\implies \varphi$ has free-field n -point correlators.

Countering canonical narrative

Heisenberg picture field (interacting field)

$$\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{iHt} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) e^{-iHt}$$

Interaction picture field (free field)

$$\varphi_0(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{iH_0 t} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) e^{-iH_0 t}$$

Intertwining relation

$$\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{iHt} e^{-iH_0 t} \varphi_0(t, \mathbf{x}) e^{iH_0 t} e^{-iHt} = V_t^\dagger \varphi_0(t, \mathbf{x}) V_t$$

Interaction picture S-matrix & Gell-Mann-Low formula

Interaction picture S-matrix

$$S = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} e^{iH_0 t} e^{-iH(t-s)} e^{-iH_0 s} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} V_t V_s^\dagger$$

as time-ordered exponentials:

$$S = T\{e^{-i \int \mathcal{H}_I}\} \quad V_t = T\{e^{-i \int_0^t \mathcal{H}_I}\}$$

Gell-Mann-Low formula

$$\langle \Omega | T\{\varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n)\} \Omega \rangle = \frac{\langle \Omega_0 | T\{S \varphi_0(x_1) \dots \varphi_0(x_n)\} \Omega_0 \rangle}{\langle \Omega_0 | S \Omega_0 \rangle} \\ \neq \langle \Omega_0 | T\{\varphi_0(x_1) \dots \varphi_0(x_n)\} \Omega_0 \rangle$$

Contradiction to Haag's theorem? No.

Special species: gauge fields

Theorem (Strocchi, 1967)

A_μ Wightman field with Poincaré covariance (Axiom II)

$$U(a, \Lambda)A_\mu(f)U(a, \Lambda)^\dagger = \Lambda_\mu^\sigma A_\sigma(\{a, \Lambda\})f$$

or commuting at spacelike distances (Axiom III).

Assume $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ satisfies $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$, then

$$\langle \Omega, F_{\mu\nu}(f)F_{\rho\sigma}(g)\Omega \rangle = 0.$$

If all axioms are fulfilled, then $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and thus $A_\mu = \partial_\mu g(x)$.

Expedient (free case): Gupta-Bleuler quantisation in Krein space.

Change of story: renormalisation

Haag's theorem no big surprise: UV divergences in PT

backpedal: so far, wrong Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2 - \frac{g}{4!}\varphi^4$$

new, renormalised Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_r = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi_r)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_r^2\varphi_r^2 - \frac{g_r}{4!}\varphi_r^4 + \mathcal{L}_{ct}$$

with counterterm Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{ct} = \frac{1}{2}(Z - 1)(\partial\varphi_r)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_r^2(Z_m - 1)\varphi_r^2 - \frac{g_r}{4!}(Z_g - 1)\varphi_r^4$$

Counterterms induce mass shift

Canonical narrative continued

New renormalised interaction part

replace old by new interaction part

$$\mathcal{L}_{int}^r = -\frac{g_r}{4!} Z_g \varphi_r^4 - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(Z-1)(\partial\varphi_r)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_r^2(Z_m-1)\varphi_r^2}_{\text{induce mass shift}}.$$

and put it through 'Gell-Mann-Low machine' with

new renormalised S-matrix

$$S_r = T\{e^{i\int \mathcal{L}_{int}^r}\}$$

⇒ finite results in perturbation theory, physically sensible.

Mass shift in free theory

Consider mass shift $m_0^2 \rightarrow m^2 = m_0^2 + \delta m^2$ in free theory³:

Theorem (Haag's theorem for free fields)

*Let φ and φ_0 be two free fields of masses m and m_0 , respectively.
If at time t there is a unitary map V such that*

$$\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = V\varphi_0(t, \mathbf{x})V^{-1},$$

then $m = m_0$.

Interacting theory unitarily equivalent to free theory?

³Reed, Simon (Academic Press, 1975)

Mass shift, canonical treatment

Mass shift as interaction

mass shift $m^2 = m_0^2 + \delta m^2$ implemented by

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_0^2\varphi^2 - \frac{1}{2}\delta m^2\varphi^2$$

perturbative Gell-Mann-Low procedure

$$\langle \Omega | T\{\varphi(x)\varphi(y)\} \Omega \rangle = \frac{\langle \Omega_0 | T\{S\varphi_0(x)\varphi_0(y)\} \Omega_0 \rangle}{\langle \Omega_0 | S \Omega_0 \rangle},$$

with S-matrix $S = T\{e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\delta m^2 \int \varphi^2}\}$ and formal intertwiner

$$V_t = T\{e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\delta m^2 \int_0^t \varphi^2}\}$$

Mass shift intertwiner not unitary

Claim

$\varphi_0(t, \mathbf{x})$ free field of mass m_0 , for

$$\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = T\{e^{i\frac{1}{2}\delta m^2 \int_0^t \varphi_0^2}\}\varphi_0(t, \mathbf{x})T\{e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\delta m^2 \int_0^t \varphi_0^2}\}$$

subjected to Gell-Mann-Low procedure with mass shift interaction one gets

$$\langle \Omega | T\{\varphi(x)\varphi(y)\} \Omega \rangle = \frac{\langle \Omega_0 | T\{S\varphi_0(x)\varphi_0(y)\} \Omega_0 \rangle}{\langle \Omega_0 | S \Omega_0 \rangle} = i\Delta_F(x-y; m^2),$$

with mass $m^2 = m_0^2 + \delta m^2$ and S-matrix $S = T\{e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\delta m^2 \int \varphi_0^2}\}$.

Therefore $V_t = T\{e^{-i\frac{1}{2}\delta m^2 \int_0^t \varphi_0^2}\}$ not unitary.

Mass shift destroys unitary equivalence

Renormalisation leads to mass shift

Full renormalised theory: self-energy $\Sigma_r(g_r, p, m_r)$

$$G_r(g_r, p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - m_r^2 - \Sigma_r(g_r, p, m_r) + i0^+}$$

incurs momentum (and scale) and coupling-dependent mass shift!

Theory **cannot possibly** be unitary equivalent to theory with

$$G_{0,r}(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - m_r^2 + i0^+}.$$

Conclusion

- 1 Axiomatic framework interesting but not the answer.
- 2 Some aspects of import, especially Haag's theorem to be taken seriously.
- 3 Haag's theorem most likely averted by renormalisation.
- 4 Thus renormalised interacting quantum fields not unitarily equivalent to free fields.

Further problems: renormalised S-matrix still unitary ... ?